arXiv:1408.2178v1 [math.AG] 10 Aug 2014

Zeta functions of trinomial curves and maximal curves

Menglong Nie

School of Mathematics, Northwest University,
Xi'an, 710127, P.R. China

niemelo@gmail.com

Abstract

We determine the zeta functions of trinomial curves in terms of Gauss sums and Jacobi sums, and we obtain an explicit formula of the genus of a trinomial curve over a finite field, then we study the conditions for a trinomial curve to be a maximal curve over a finite field.

Keywords: zeta function, trinomial curves, maximal curve, genus

1 Introduction

Let C be a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve defined over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements, let N_i be the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}-$rational points of C, i.e. $N_i = \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})$, then the zeta function $Z(C/\mathbb{F}_q;t)$ of the curve C is defined as the formal series

$$Z(t) = Z(C/\mathbb{F}_q;t) := \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_i}{i} t^i).$$

André Weil [21] proved that $Z(C/\mathbb{F}_q;t) = \frac{L(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ with $L(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and $\deg L(t) = 2g$, where g is the genus of C. The numerator $L(t)$ of the zeta function $Z(t)$ is also called the L-polynomial of $C/\mathbb{F}_q$. Further results and information about zeta functions over finite fields can be found, e.g., in [20].

The Hasse-Weil bound for the number of rational points on the curve C of genus g over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ states that $\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q + 1 + 2g\sqrt{q}$. If a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ attains this bound, then the numerator of its zeta function over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ equals $(1+qt)^{2g}$ and the curve is called a maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

A trinomial curve is the curve whose defining equation has precisely three monomials. The zeta function of the trinomial curve of type $k_1x^m + k_2y^n + 1 = 0$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ has been determined by André Weil [22] in 1949.

Some types of trinomial curves as maximal curves have been intensively studied. Gilles Lachaud [12, Propostion 7] proved that the Fermat curve of equation $k_1x^m + k_2y^m + 1 = 0, 2 \leq m, gcd(q, m) = 1$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $m|(q+1)$. Angela Aguglia etc. [1] proved that the Hurwitz curves of the form $x^n y + x + y^n = 0$ with $gcd(q, n^2 - n + 1) = 1$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(n^2 - n + 1)|(q + 1)$. They also proved that the curve of equation $x^n y^l + x^l + y^n = 0$, where $n \geq l \geq 2$ and $gcd(q, n^2 - nl + l^2) = 1$, is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $(n^2 - nl + l^2)|(q+1)$. Arnaldo Garcia and Saeed Tafazolian [6] proved that the Fermat curve given by $x^m + y^m = 1$ with $2 \leq m, gcd(q, m) = 1$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $m|(q+1)$. In [16], Saeed Tafazolian and Fernando Torres proved that the curve given by $x^n + y^m = 1$ with $2 \leq m, 2 \leq n, gcd(q, mn) = 1$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if both integers m and n divide $q+1$. They [17] subsequently proved that the curve given by $y^n = x^m + x$, where $2 \leq m, 2 \leq n, gcd(q, (m-1)n) = 1, n|m$, is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(n(m-1))|(q+1)$.

In this paper, we determine the zeta functions of trinomial curves in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums, and we obtain an explicit formula of the genus of a trinomial curve over any finite field, then we study the conditions for an trinomial curve to be a maximal curve over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem. We only state the case 5 of the classification of trinomial curves. The other cases is similar to case 5. We first give some notations. Let p be a prime number, $m = p^k m' \in \mathbb{Z}, (p, m') = 1, k \geq 0$, we will denote m' by m_p and denote the p-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$ by $v_p(\cdot)$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers, we denote by $\mu(\xi)$ or $\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be the smallest positive integer such that $(q^{\mu(\xi)} - 1)\xi_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let C be the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the geometrically irreducible curve given by*

$$x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*; m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, and $n_1 \geq m_1$, if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$. Let $d = gcd(m, n, m_1, n_1)$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$. Let $i(C) = \frac{m_1n + mn_1 - mn - d_1 - d_2 - d_3}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1 - n), d_2 = gcd(n_1, m_1 - m)$ and $d_3 = gcd(m, n)$. Let $g(C)$ be the genus of C over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers such that

$$\begin{cases} m_1\xi_1 + (m_1 - m)\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ (n_1 - n)\xi_1 + n_1\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, }, (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Then

(1) If $i(C) = 0$, then $g(C) = 0$. If $i(C) > 0$, then

$$g(C) = \frac{(m_1n + mn_1 - mn)_p - (d_1)_p - (d_2)_p - (d_3)_p}{2} + 1.$$

(2) The numerator of the zeta function of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi}(1 + \frac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}}\chi_{\xi_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\xi_2}(k_1^{-1})g(\psi,\chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi,\chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi,\chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2})U^{\mu(\xi)}).$$

(3) Suppose further that $((m_1n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p|(q^l + 1)$ for some l. Then $\mu(\xi)$ is even and $\mu(\xi) = 2(l, \mu(\xi))$. Let $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$, then the numerator of the zeta function of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi}(1 + q^{\nu(\xi)}U^{\mu(\xi)}),$$

the product in (2) and (3) both being taking over all pairs $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ satisfying (1.1) but taking only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho\xi_1, q^\rho\xi_2)$ with $0 \le \rho < \mu(\xi)$.

(4) Suppose $((m_1n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p|(q + 1)$, i.e. $l = 1$ in (3). Then C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Conversely, if C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $g(C) > 0$, then $((m_1n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p|(q^2 - 1)$.

Note that recently, Saeed Tafazolian and Fernando Torres [18] also studied this Hurwitz type curves using Weierstrass semigroups and Serre's covering. They proved that [18, Proposition 2.3] the curve defined by $x^{m_1}y^{n_1}+x^m+y^n = 0$ with $m, m_1, n, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, m_1n + m(n_1 - n) \ge 1, gcd(q, m_1n + m(n_1 - n)) = 1$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $(m_1n + m(n_1 - n))|(q + 1)$. They also showed that [18, Theorem 2.9], if $m, m_1 \ge 0, n \ge 2, m \equiv 1 \pmod n, \delta = m_1n+m(1-n) \ge 2, \Delta = \lfloor \frac{\delta}{n} \rfloor \ge 1, gcd(\Delta + 1, n - 1) = 1$, then the curve defined by $x^{m_1}y + x^m + y^n = 0$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(m_1n + m(1 - n))|(q + 1)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 trinomial curves are classified into 5 cases and we recall known results about the irreducibility and genus of a trinomial curve. At the end of this section we using Serre's covering [12, Proposition 6] to give a simple criticism to find the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that a trinomial curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Section 3 introduces some preliminary details on Gauss and Jacobi sums and the number of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the system of equations $x^{m_1} = a_1, x^{m_2} = a_2, \cdots, x^{m_r} = a_r$ which we will use to calculate the zeta function of a trinomial curve in Section 4. We then use the zeta function of a trinomial curve to study when a trinomial curve is a maximal curve in Section 4.

2 Trinomial curves

In this section we mainly recall some known results about the irreducibility and genus of a trinomial curve.

Definition 2.1 *Let C be an affine curve over a field K. Suppose the reduced equation F of C has exactly three monomials, we will call C a trinomial curve.*

Proposition 2.2 *([3, Proposition 2.10]) Let K be a field, and $F(x,y) = \alpha x^a + \beta x^b y^c + \gamma y^d \in K[x,y]$, where a,b,c,d are nonnegative integers, and $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$. Assume that $(a,0),(b,c)$ and $(0,d)$ are three distinct points. Then $F(x,y)$ is absolute irreducible over K if and only if $ac+bd \neq ad$ and the characteristic of K doesn't divide $gcd(a,b,c,d)$.*

Proposition 2.3 *Let C be an geometrically irreducible trinomial curve over a field K, and let $p = char(K)$. Then the equation of C is one of the following 5 cases with respect to permutation of variables:*

1. $k_1x^m + k_2y^n + 1 = 0$, $n \geq m$, $p \nmid gcd(m,n)$,
2. $k_1x^m + k_2y^{n_1} + y^{n_2} = 0$, $n_2 > m, n_2 > n_1$, $p \nmid gcd(m,n_1,n_2)$,
3. $k_1x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_2y^n + 1 = 0$, $n > m_1 + n_1$, $p \nmid gcd(m_1,n_1,n)$,
4. $k_1x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_2x^{m_2}y^{n_2} + 1 = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 \geq m_2 + n_2$, $\frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{n_2}{m_2}$, $(m_1,n_1) \neq (m_2,n_2)$, $p \nmid gcd(m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2)$,
5. $x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n, n_1 \geq m_1$, *and if* $m_1 = n_1$ *then* $n \geq m$. $p \nmid gcd(m_1,m,n_1,n)$,

where m, m_1, n, n_1 are all positive integers, and $k_1, k_2 \in K, k_1k_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose the homogeneous equation of the curve C is $k_1x^{a_1}y^{b_1}z^{c_1} + k_2x^{a_2}y^{b_2}z^{c_2} + k_3x^{a_3}y^{b_3}z^{c_3} = 0$. We can divide it into two cases with respect to permutation of variables:

1. $a_1 = \min\{a_1,a_2,a_3\}$,$b_1 = \min\{b_1,b_2,b_3\}$ and $c_2 = \min\{c_1,c_2,c_3\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1x^{a_1}y^{b_1}z^{c_1} + k_2x^{a_2}y^{b_2}z^{c_2} + k_3x^{a_3}y^{b_3}z^{c_3} \\ &= x^{a_1}y^{b_1}z^{c_2}(k_1z^{c_1-c_2} + k_2x^{a_2-a_1}y^{b_2-b_1} + k_3x^{a_3-a_1}y^{b_3-b_1}z^{c_3-c_2}). \end{aligned}$$

2. $a_1 = \min\{a_1,a_2,a_3\}$,$b_2 = \min\{b_1,b_2,b_3\}$ and $c_3 = \min\{c_1,c_2,c_3\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1x^{a_1}y^{b_1}z^{c_1} + k_2x^{a_2}y^{b_2}z^{c_2} + k_3x^{a_3}y^{b_3}z^{c_3} \\ &= x^{a_1}y^{b_2}z^{c_3}(k_1y^{b_1-b_2}z^{c_1-c_3} + k_2x^{a_2-a_1}z^{c_2-c_3} + k_3x^{a_3-a1}y^{b_3-b_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the homogeneous equation of the irreducible curve C is of the form $k_1z^{a_1'} + k_2x^{a_2'}y^{b_2'} + k_3x^{a_3'}y^{b_3'}z^{c_3'} = 0$ or $k_1x^{a_1'}y^{b_1'} + k_2y^{b_2'}z^{c_2'} + k_3x^{a_3'}z^{c_3'} = 0$. The former case can be divided into the following 6 reduced forms with respect to permutation of variables:

1. $a_2' = b_3' = c_3' = 0$, $k_1z^{a_1'} + k_2y^{b_2'} + k_3x^{a_3'} = 0$,
2. $a_2' = b_3' = 0$, $k_1z^{a_1'} + k_2y^{b_2'} + k_3x^{a_3'}z^{c_3'} = 0$,
3. $a_3' = 0$, interchange y and z, $k_1y^{a_1'} + k_2x^{a_2'}z^{b_2'} + k_3y^{c_3'}z^{b_3'} = 0$,
4. $a_2' = 0$, $k_1z^{a_1'} + k_2y^{b_2'} + k_3x^{a_3'}y^{b_3'}z^{c_3'} = 0$,

5. $c_3' = 0$, $(a_2', b_2') \neq (a_3', b_3')$, $k_1 z^{a_1'} + k_2 x^{a_2'} y^{b_2'} + k_3 x^{a_3'} y^{b_3'} = 0$,

6. $k_1 z^{a_1'} + k_2 x^{a_2'} y^{b_2'} + k_3 x^{a_3'} y^{b_3'} z^{c_3'} = 0$.

And from the latter case we can get only one additional reduced form $k_1 x^{a_1'} y^{b_1'} + k_2 y^{b_2'} z^{c_2'} + k_3 x^{a_3'} z^{c_3'} = 0$. Therefore, dehomogenization with respect to z gives the following reduced equations of C with respect to permutation of variables:

1. $k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$, $n \geq m$,

2. $k_1 x^m + k_2 y^{n_1} + y^{n_2} = 0$, $n_2 > m, n_2 > n_1$,

3. $k_1 x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$, $n > m_1 + n_1$,

4. $k_1 x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_2 x^{m_2} y^{n_2} + 1 = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 \geq m_2 + n_2$, $\frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{n_2}{m_2}$, $(m_1, n_1) \neq (m_2, n_2)$,

5. $x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n, n_1 \geq m_1$, and if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$.

The other statements follows by Proposition 2.2. □

Definition 2.4 *A lattice polygon is a polygon with all vertices at points of the lattice of integers. A simple polygon is a closed polygonal chain of line segments in the plane which do not have points in common other than the common vertices of pairs of consecutive segments.*

Theorem 2.5 (Pick) *([13, Theorem 3.1]) Let P be a simple lattice polygon. Then the area A of polygon P is given by the formula*

$$A = i + \frac{b}{2} - 1.$$

Where i is the number of lattice points inside P and b is the number of lattice points on the boundary of P including vertices.

Corollary 2.6 *Let P be a simple lattice polygon with n vertices $P_1(a_1, b_1), P_2(a_2, b_2), \cdots, P_n((a_n, b_n))$ such that $\overrightarrow{P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n P_1}$ is the positively oriented boundary of P, where a_i, b_i are integers. Write $P_{n+1}(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) = P_1(a_1, b_1)$, then the number $i(P)$ of lattice points inside P is*

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i b_{i+1} - a_{i+1} b_i - d_{i,i+1}}{2},$$

where $d_{i,i+1} = Gcd(a_i - a_{i+1}, b_i - b_{i+1})$. Particularly, the number of lattice points inside a lattice triangle with vertices $P_1(a_1, b_1), P_2(a_2, b_2)$ and $P_3(a_3, b_3)$ is

$$1 + \frac{(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 - d_1) + (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 - d_2) + (a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3 - d_3)}{2}$$

, where $d_1 = Gcd(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2), d_2 = Gcd(a_2 - a_3, b_2 - b_3)$ and $d_3 = Gcd(a_1 - a_3, b_1 - b_3)$.

Proof. The area of P is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i b_{i+1} - a_{i+1} b_i}{2}$ by Green' theorem. The number of lattice points lying on the line segment $\overline{P_i P_{i+1}}$ including vertices P_i, P_{i+1} is $d_{i,i+1} + 1$. Therefore from Pick's theorem the number $i(P)$ of lattice points inside P is

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i b_{i+1} - a_{i+1} b_i - d_{i,i+1}}{2}.$$

□

Definition 2.7 *[3] Let K be a field. Let $F(x,y) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_i x^{i_1} y^{i_2}$ be a polynomial in $K[x,y]$, with $i_1, i_2 \geq 0$. Denote by $\Gamma(F)$ the convex hull of the points $P_i = (i_1, i_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$. The set $\Gamma(F)$ is called the Newton polygon of F. Let C be a trinomial curve. We denote the Newton polygon of the equation F of C by $\Gamma(C)$ and denote the number of integral points in the interior of the Newton polygon $\Gamma(C)$ by $i(C)$.*

Proposition 2.8 *Let C be an absolute irreducible affine trinomial curve over a field K, and let $p = char(K)$. Then the value of $i(C)$ is listed as follows.*

1. *$k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$, $n \geq m$, $p \nmid gcd(m,n)$. $i(C) = \frac{(m-1)(n-1)-(d-1)}{2}$, where $d = gcd(m,n)$.*
2. *$k_1 x^m + k_2 y^{n_1} + y^{n_2} = 0$, $n_2 > m, n_2 > n_1$, $p \nmid gcd(m, n_1, n_2)$. $i(C) = \frac{(m-1)(n_2-n_1)-(d_1+d_2)}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(m, n_1), d_2 = gcd(m, n_2)$.*
3. *$k_1 x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$, $n > m_1 + n_1$, $p \nmid gcd(m_1, n_1, n)$. $i(C) = \frac{(m_1-1)n-d_1-d_2}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1), d_2 = gcd(m_1, n - n_1)$.*
4. *$k_1 x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_2 x^{m_2} y^{n_2} + 1 = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 \geq m_2 + n_2$, $\frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{n_2}{m_2}$, $(m_1, n_1) \neq (m_2, n_2)$, $p \nmid gcd(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$. $i(C) = \frac{m_2 n_1 - m_1 n_2 - d_1 - d_2 - d_3}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1), d_2 = gcd(m_2, n_2)$ and $d_3 = gcd(n_1 - n_2, m_1 - m_2)$.*
5. *$x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n = 0$, $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n, n_1 \geq m_1$, and if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$. $p \nmid gcd(m_1, m, n_1, n)$. $i(C) = \frac{m_1 n + m n_1 - mn - d_1 - d_2 - d_3}{2} + 1$. where $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1 - n), d_2 = gcd(n_1, m_1 - m)$ and $d_3 = gcd(m, n)$.*

Proof. The value of $i(C)$ is obtained from Corollary 2.6. □

Theorem 2.9 (Baker) *([3, Theorem 4.2]) Let $F(x,y) = 0$ define an irreducible curve $\mathcal{X}$ over an algebraically closed field. Let $i(F)$ denote the number of integral points in the interior of the Newton polygon $\Gamma(F)$. Then the genus g of the nonsingular model of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies $g \leq i(F)$. Equality holds if F is nondegenerate with respect to its Newton polygon and the singular points of the homogeneous curve with equation $F^*(x,y,z) = 0$ are among $[0:0:1], [0:1:0]$ and $[1:0:0]$.*

Proposition 2.10 *[3, Corollary 4.3] Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field. Let a, b, c and d be nonnegative integers. Suppose a curve is given by the equation $\alpha x^a + \beta x^b y^c + \gamma y^d = 0$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and $ac + bd \neq ad$, and p, the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ does not divide all of a, b, c and d. Then the genus g of the nonsingular model of this curve satisfies*

$$g \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}\{|ac + bd - ad| - gcd(a - b, c) - gcd(b, c - d) - gcd(a, d)\}.$$

If p does not divide $gcd(a - b, c), gcd(b, c - d), gcd(a, d)$ and $ac + bd - ad$, then equality holds.

Proposition 2.11 *Let C be the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a geometrically irreducible curve with a homogeneous equation $k_1 x^{a_{11}} y^{a_{12}} z^{a_{13}} + k_2 x^{a_{21}} y^{a_{22}} z^{a_{23}} + x^{a_{31}} y^{a_{32}} z^{a_{33}} = 0$, where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q, k_1 k_2 \neq 0$. Let*

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is nonsingular and n is the least positive integer such that two columns of $n\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ are all integers, then C is maximal over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $n|(q + 1)$.

Proof. Suppose

$$n\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the first two columns are integers. let $\varphi(u, v) = (u^{b_{11}} v^{b_{12}}, u^{b_{21}} v^{b_{22}})$. We can see that the Fermat curve of the form $C' : k_1 u^n + k_2 v^n + 1 = 0$ is a covering of the curve given by $k_1 x^{a_{11}} y^{a_{12}} + k_2 x^{a_{21}} y^{a_{22}} + x^{a_{31}} y^{a_{32}} = 0$ by the morphism φ. Since the curve C' is maximal over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $n|(q + 1)$, we have C is also maximal over the finite fields by [12, Proposition 6]. □

Example 2.12 *The curve $xy^5 + x^2y^3z + z^6 = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $7 \nmid q$, which belongs to the case (4). Its genus is 3. It's maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $7|(q + 1)$.*

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{7} & -\frac{3}{7} & -\frac{5}{42} \\ -\frac{1}{7} & \frac{2}{7} & \frac{1}{42} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix},$$

3 Exponential sum and the number of points

In this section we recall some properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums and develop some preliminary results which will be used in Section 4 to calculate the zeta function of trinomial curves.

Definition 3.1 *([10, Chapter 4]) Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})^*$ be a (possibly trivial) multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\psi : (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^*$ a non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The Gauss sum is defined by*

$$g_a(\psi, \chi) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \psi(au)\chi(u).$$

For a fixed choice of non-trivial ψ and any function f on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, say with values in an overfield E of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})$, we define its multiplicative Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ to be the E-valued function on characters given by

$$\hat{f}(\chi) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} f(u)\chi(u).$$

The Fourier inversion formula, $f(u) = \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_\chi \bar{\chi}(u)\hat{f}(\chi)$ allows us to recover f from $\hat{f}$, where $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Given two functions f, g on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, let $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then their convolution $f * g$ is the function on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ defined by

$$(f * g)(u) = \sum_{xy=u} f(x)g(y).$$

The Fourier transform of the convolution is given by the product of the transforms:

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(\chi) = \hat{f}(\chi)\hat{g}(\chi).$$

Remark 3.2 *Gauss sums are usually defined as (e. g. [4],[9],[22])*

$$g'_a(\psi, \chi) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(au)\chi(u).$$

These two definitions of Gauss sums are related as follows,

$$g_a(\psi, \chi) = g'_a(\psi, \chi) - \chi(0) = \begin{cases} g'_a(\psi, \chi) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is nontrivial} \\ g'_a(\psi, \chi) - 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.3 *Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k$ be multiplicative characters on a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. A Jacobi sum is defined by the formula*

$$j(\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k) = \sum_{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = 1} \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\cdots\chi_k(t_k).$$

where the summation is taken over all k-tuples $(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k)$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = 1$. $j_0(\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k)$ is the character sum defined by

$$j_0(\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k) = \sum_{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = 0} \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\cdots\chi_k(t_k),$$

where the summation is taken over all k-tuples $(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k)$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = 0$.

Remark 3.4 *Jacobi sum is usually defined as (e. g. [4],[9])*

$$j'(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)=\sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_k=1\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q,i=1,2,\cdots,k}}\chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\cdots\chi_k(t_k)$$

and $j'_0(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)$ *is defined as*

$$j'_0(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)=\sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_k=0\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q,i=1,2,\cdots,k}}\chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\cdots\chi_k(t_k)$$

It is easy to see if $\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k$ *are all nontrivial, then* $j(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)=j'(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)$ *and* $j_0(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)=j'_0(\chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_k)$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let* $\chi,\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k$ *be multiplicative characters on a finite field* $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, ψ *be a fixed non-trivial additive character of* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *and* $a\in\mathbb{F}_q$. *Then*

1.

$$j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k)=\begin{cases}\frac{(q-1)^k+(-1)^k(q-1)}{q} & \text{if } \chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k \text{ are all trivial.}\\ (-1)^s j_0(\chi_{s+1},\chi_{s+2},\cdots,\chi_k) & \text{if } k\geq 2, \text{ and } s \text{ characters are trivial, } 1\leq s<k, \text{ say } \chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_s \text{ are all trivial}\\ -(q-1)j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k) & \text{if } \chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k \text{ are all nontrivial and } \chi_1\cdots\chi_k \text{ is trivial.}\\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1\cdots\chi_k \text{ is nontrivial.}\end{cases}$$

2.

$$j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k)=\begin{cases}\frac{(q-1)^k+(-1)^{k-1}}{q} & \text{if } \chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k \text{ are all trivial.}\\ (-1)^s j(\chi_{s+1},\chi_{s+2},\cdots,\chi_k) & \text{if } k\geq 2, \text{ and } s \text{ characters are trivial, } 1\leq s<k, \text{ say } \chi_1,\chi_2,\cdots,\chi_s \text{ are all trivial}\end{cases}$$

3. *If* $\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k$ *are nontrivial and* $\chi_1\cdots\chi_k$ *trivial, then*

$$j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k)=\chi_k(-1)(q-1)j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1}),$$
$$j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k)=-\chi_k(-1)j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1}).$$

4. *If* $\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k$ *are nontrivial, then*

$$j(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_k)=\begin{cases}\frac{g(\psi,\chi_1)\cdots g(\psi,\chi_k)}{g(\psi,\chi_1\cdots\chi_k)} & \text{if } \chi_1\cdots\chi_k \text{ is nontrivial.}\\ -\frac{g(\psi,\chi_1)\cdots g(\psi,\chi_k)}{q} & \text{if } \chi_1\cdots\chi_k \text{ is trivial.}\end{cases}$$

5.
$$g_a(\psi,\chi)=\begin{cases}\chi(a^{-1})g(\psi,\chi) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is nontrivial and } a\neq 0,\\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is nontrivial and } a=0,\\ -1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial and } a\neq 0,\\ q-1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial and } a=0,\end{cases}$$

6.
$$\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(t)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is nontrivial,}\\ q-1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial.}\end{cases}$$

7. *If $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then*
$$g_a(\psi,\chi)g_a(\psi,\bar{\chi})=\begin{cases}\chi(-1)q & \text{if } \chi \text{ is nontrivial,}\\ 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. We only need to prove the first two case for the first two properties. For the details of the other properties see [4].

1. Let $a_k := j_0(\epsilon,\epsilon,\cdots,\epsilon)=\#\{(t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_k): t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_k=0, t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\}$, where there are k trivial characters ϵ's in j_0. If $t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_{k-1}$ are chosen arbitrarily in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, then t_k is uniquely determined by the condition $t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_k=0$, but in order to $t_k\neq 0$, we need $t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{k-1}\neq 0$. Thus for $k>1$,
$$\begin{aligned}a_k = &\ (q-1)^{k-1}-\#\{(t_1,\cdots,t_{k-1}): t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{k-1}=0, t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\}\\ = &\ (q-1)^{k-1}-a_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$
Note that $a_1=0, a_2=q-1$. Hence $a_k=\frac{(q-1)^k+(-1)^k(q-1)}{q}$.
For the second case, we first show that if $\chi_k=\epsilon, \chi_{k-1}\neq\epsilon$, then
$$j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1},\chi_k)=-j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1}).$$
In fact,
$$\begin{aligned}&j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1},\epsilon)\\ =&\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1}+t_k=0\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,i=1,\cdots,k-1,k}}\chi_1(t_1)\cdots\chi_{k-1}(t_{k-1})\\ =&\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-2}=0,t_{k-1}=-t_k\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,i=1,\cdots,k-1,k}}\chi_1(t_1)\cdots\chi_{k-1}(t_{k-1})\\ &+\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-2}=a,t_{k-1}\neq -a\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,i=1,\cdots,k-1,k}}\chi_1(t_1)\cdots\chi_{k-1}(t_{k-1})\\ =&\, j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-2})\cdot\sum_{t_{k-1}\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi_{k-1}(t_{k-1})+\\ &\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-2}=a\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_1(t_1)\cdots\chi_{k-2}(t_{k-2})\cdot\sum_{\substack{t_{k-1}\neq -a\\ t_{k-1}\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{k-1}(t_{k-1})\\ =&\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-2}=a\\ t_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_1(t_1)\cdots\chi_{k-2}(t_{k-2})(-\chi_{k-1}(-a))\\ =&-j_0(\chi_1,\cdots,\chi_{k-1})\end{aligned}$$

Note that the value of j_0 isn't affected by the order of χ_i's. Hence if there are s characters are trivial and at least one character is nontrivial, then we can iterate the above result for s times and obtain the required result.

2. Let $b_k = j(\epsilon, \epsilon, \cdots, \epsilon) = \#\{(t_1, \cdots, t_{k-1}, t_k) : t_1 + \cdots + t_{k-1} + t_k = 1, t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$. The condition for t_i can be divided into the following two cases. One is $t_1 + \cdots + t_{k-1} = 0, t_k = 1, t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, 1 \leq i \leq k$, the other is $t_1 + \cdots + t_{k-1} = a, t_k = 1 - a, a \neq 0, 1, t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, 1 \leq i \leq k$, which implies that $\frac{t_1}{a} + \cdots + \frac{t_{k-1}}{a} = 1$. Thus for $k > 1$, $b_k = a_{k-1} + (q-2)b_{k-1}$. Note that $b_1 = 1, b_2 = q - 2$. Hence $b_k = \frac{(q-1)^k + (-1)^{k-1}}{q}$.

   The second case for j is similar to that of j_0, so we omit the proof.

□

Corollary 3.6 *Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k$ be multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let $\chi_{k+1} = \overline{\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k}$. Then*

$$j(\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k) = \frac{1}{q-1}\chi_{k+1}(-1)j_0(\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_k, \chi_{k+1}).$$

Proof. First, suppose $\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k$ are all trivial, then χ_{k+1} is trivial, and $j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k) = \frac{(q-1)^k + (-1)^{k-1}}{q}$, hence

$$j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k, \chi_{k+1}) = \frac{(q-1)^{k+1} + (-1)^{k+1}(q-1)}{q} = (q-1)j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k).$$

Second, suppose $\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k$ are all nontrivial. If χ_{k+1} is nontrivial, then this corollary is true by the above theorem. If χ_{k+1} is trivial, i.e. $\chi_{k+1} = \overline{\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k} = \epsilon$, then $\overline{\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_{k-1}} = \chi_k \neq \epsilon$. Thus from the former case we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k) &= -\chi_k(-1)j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_{k-1}) \\ &= -\chi_k(-1)\tfrac{1}{q-1}\chi_k(-1)j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_{k-1}, \chi_k) \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{q-1}j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_k, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, suppose there are s characters nontrivial and k-s characters trivial, say $\chi_{s+1} = \cdots = \chi_k = \epsilon$, then $\chi_{k+1} = \overline{\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_s}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_s, \epsilon, \cdots, \epsilon) &= (-1)^{k-s}j(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_s) \\ &= (-1)^{k-s}\tfrac{1}{q-1}\chi_{k+1}(-1)j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_s, \chi_{k+1}) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\chi_{k+1}(-1)j_0(\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_s, \epsilon, \cdots, \epsilon, \chi_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

From the above theorem, let $\chi_\beta, \chi_{\beta_1}, \chi_{\beta_2}, \chi_{\beta_3}$ be multiplicative characters on a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and ψ be a fixed non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

we have

$$j_0(\bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_3}) = \begin{cases} (q-1)(q-2) & \text{if } \chi_{\beta_1}, \chi_{\beta_2}, \chi_{\beta_3} \text{ are all trivial,} \\ \frac{(q-1)}{q} g(\psi, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}) g(\psi, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}) g(\psi, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_3}) & \text{if } \chi_{\beta_1}, \chi_{\beta_2}, \chi_{\beta_3} \text{ are not all trivial and } \chi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \text{ is trivial,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_a(\psi, \chi_\beta) = \begin{cases} \chi_\beta(a^{-1}) g(\psi, \chi_\beta) & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ q-1 & \text{if } \chi_\beta \text{ is trivial and } a = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_\beta \text{ is nontrivial and } a = 0, \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.7 *Let χ be multiplicative characters on a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and ψ be a fixed non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} g_{ab}(\psi, \chi) = 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} g_{ab}(\psi, \chi) &= g_0(\psi, \chi) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} g_{ab}(\psi, \chi) \\ &= (q-1)\chi(0) + g(\psi, \chi) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(a^{-1}b^{-1}) \\ &= (q-1)\chi(0) + g(\psi, \chi)(q-1)\chi(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.8 *Let χ_1, χ_2 be multiplicative characters on a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and ψ be a fixed non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} g_{ab_1}(\psi, \chi_1) g_{ab_2}(\psi, \chi_2) = q(q-1)\chi_1(-b_1^{-1}b_2)\chi_1\chi_2(0).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} g_{ab_1}(\psi, \chi_1) g_{ab_2}(\psi, \chi_2) \\ &= g_0(\psi, \chi_1) g_0(\psi, \chi_2) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} g_{ab_1}(\psi, \chi_1) g_{ab_2}(\psi, \chi_2) \\ &= (q-1)^2 \chi_1(0)\chi_2(0) + g(\psi, \chi_1) g(\psi, \chi_2) \chi_1(b_1^{-1}) \chi_2(b_2^{-1}) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1\chi_2(a^{-1}) \\ &= (q-1)^2 \chi_1(0)\chi_2(0) + g(\psi, \chi_1) g(\psi, \chi_2) \chi_1(b_1^{-1}) \chi_2(b_2^{-1})(q-1)\chi_1\chi_2(0) \\ &= \begin{cases} q(q-1)\chi_1(-b_1^{-1}b_2) & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \epsilon \text{ and } \chi_1\chi_2 = \epsilon \\ q(q-1) & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2 = \epsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1\chi_2 \neq \epsilon \end{cases} \\ &= q(q-1)\chi_1(-b_1^{-1}b_2)\chi_1\chi_2(0) \end{aligned}$$

□

From now on we usually use (m,n) to denote the g. c. d. of m and n.

Definition 3.9 *Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* =< \zeta >$, χ be a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $\chi(\zeta) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{q-1}} = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$, (we will denote such a χ by $\chi_{\alpha,\zeta}$ or χ_α below), m be a positive integer, $(m, q-1) = d$, and $ms+(q-1)t = d$. If $d|k$, then $\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}$ is defined by*

$$\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}(\zeta) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{(q-1)}\frac{s}{d}}.$$

In fact, $\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}$ is one of the multiplicative character χ_0 such that $\chi_0^m = \chi$ in the multiplicative character group over $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Lemma 3.10 *Let χ_δ be multiplicative characters over $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Suppose $(m, q-1) = d, ms + (q-1)t = d$. Then*

$$(\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma = \chi_\delta, \frac{q-1}{d}(\alpha+\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod 1$$
$$\Leftrightarrow (\beta,\gamma) \equiv (m\delta-\alpha, \frac{(q-1)t}{d}\delta) \pmod 1.$$

Proof. $(\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma = \chi_\delta$ is equivalent to $\frac{s}{d}(\alpha+\beta)+\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod 1$, and the latter is equivalent to $\frac{s(q-1)}{d}(\alpha+\beta)+(q-1)\gamma \equiv (q-1)\delta \pmod{q-1}$. From $d\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, i.e. $(q-1)\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, we have $\frac{s(q-1)}{d}(\alpha+\beta) \equiv (q-1)\delta \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, which implies that $\frac{(q-1)}{d}(\alpha+\beta) \equiv \frac{(q-1)m}{d}\delta \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, hence $\beta \equiv m\delta-\alpha \pmod 1$. Substitute $\beta \equiv m\delta-\alpha \pmod 1$ to $\frac{s}{d}(\alpha+\beta)+\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod 1$, we obtain $\gamma \equiv (1-\frac{ms}{d})\delta \equiv \frac{(q-1)t}{d}\delta \pmod 1$.

□

Proposition 3.11 *Suppose $\mathbb{F}_q^* =< \zeta >$, χ is a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $\chi(\zeta) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{q-1}} = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$, and ψ is a fixed non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and let m be a positive integer and $(m, q-1) = d$. Then*

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \nmid (q-1)\alpha \\ \sum_\beta g_a(\psi, \chi^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\beta) & \text{if } d|(q-1)\alpha, \end{cases}$$

where $d\beta \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Proof. First suppose $(m, q-1) = 1$. Let $u^m = w \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $u = w^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Hence

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) = \sum_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{m}})\psi(aw) = \sum_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}(w)\psi(aw) = g_a(\psi, \chi^{\frac{1}{m}})$$

Second, suppose $m|(q-1)$ and $m|k$. Let $u^m = w \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and θ be a primitive mth root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $w^{\frac{1}{m}}, w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta, w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^2, \cdots, w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^{m-1}$ are all the solutions of $x^m = w$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let $N_m(a)$ denote the number of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ of the equation $x^m = a$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) = \sum_{\substack{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^* \\ i=0,1,\cdots,m-1}}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^i)\psi(aw)\frac{N_m(w)}{m}$$

Note that $\chi(w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^i) = \chi^{\frac{1}{m}}((w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^i)^m) = \chi^{\frac{1}{m}}(w)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) = \sum_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}(w)\psi(aw)N_m(w) &= \sum_{\beta}\sum\nolimits_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\beta(w)\psi(aw)\\ &= \sum_{\beta} g_a(\psi,\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\beta)\end{aligned}$$

where $m\beta \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Third, suppose $m|(q-1)$ and $m\nmid k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) &= \sum_{\substack{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ i=0,1,\cdots,m-1}}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{m}}\theta^i)\psi(aw)\tfrac{N_m(w)}{m}\\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\chi(\theta)^i)\sum_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{m}})\psi(aw)\tfrac{N_m(w)}{m}\\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

because $\chi(\theta)\neq 1$.

Finally we prove the general case by induction on m. Suppose $d>1$. Let $m_1 = \frac{m}{d}, d_1 = (m_1, q-1)$, and θ be a primitive dth root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $m_1 < m$ and $d_1|d$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m) &= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(a(u^d)^{m_1})\\ &= \sum_{\substack{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ i=0,1,\cdots,d-1}}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{d}}\theta^i)\psi(aw^{m_1})\tfrac{N_d(w)}{d}\\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d\nmid(q-1)\alpha\\ \sum\limits_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(w^{\frac{1}{d}})\psi(aw^{m_1})N_d(w) & \text{if } d|(q-1)\alpha\\ = \sum\limits_{\beta}\sum\nolimits_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta(w)\psi(aw^{m_1}) & \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where $d\beta\equiv 0 \pmod 1$. Now let $\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta$. Then by induction

$$\sum_{w\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta(w)\psi(aw^{m_1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_1\nmid(q-1)\alpha_1\\ \sum\limits_{\beta_1}\sum\limits_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}(\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\beta_1}(v)\psi(av) & \text{if } d_1|(q-1)\alpha_1\end{cases},$$

where $d_1\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Now suppose $(m, q-1) = d, ms+(q-1)t = d, (m_1, q-1) = d_1, m_1s_1 + (q-1)t_1 = d_1$. We will show that if $d|(q-1)\alpha$, then the sets $\{(\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\beta_1} : d\beta\equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_1|(q-1)(\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta), d_1\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1\}$ and $\{\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma : d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1\}$ are the same, that is, the sets $A = \{((\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)\frac{s_1}{d_1}+\beta_1) \pmod 1 : d\beta\equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_1|(q-1)(\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta), d_1\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1\}$ and $B = \{(\frac{\alpha}{d}s+\gamma) \pmod 1 : d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1\}$ are the same.

From $(\frac{q-1}{d}, d_1) = 1$ as $d_1|m_1$, we can see there exist $\frac{d}{d_1}$ solutions for $\beta \pmod 1$ satisfying equations $d\beta\equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_1|(q-1)(\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)$. And if the

pairs (β,β_1) are distinct, then the characters $(\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\beta_1}$ are also distinct by Lemma 3.10. Thus $\#A=\#B=d$. Moreover, since $\frac{m_1}{d_1}s_1\equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d_1}}$ and $\frac{q-1}{d}|\frac{q-1}{d_1}$, $\frac{m_1}{d_1}s_1\equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$. From $m_1\equiv d_1\frac{m_1}{d_1} \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, we have $(\frac{m_1}{d_1})^{-1}\equiv d_1m_1^{-1} \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, which implies that $s_1\equiv d_1s \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$. Note that if $d_1\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $(q-1)\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d_1}}$, hence $(q-1)\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$. Thus given any pair (β,β_1) such that $d\beta\equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_1|(q-1)(\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta), d_1\beta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, we have $(q-1)((\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)\frac{s_1}{d_1}+\beta_1-\frac{\alpha}{d}s)\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{d}}$, then $d((\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)\frac{s_1}{d_1}+\beta_1-\frac{\alpha}{d}s)\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, that is, $\gamma\equiv((\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)\frac{s_1}{d_1}+\beta_1-\frac{\alpha}{d}s) \pmod 1$ is the only one solution for $\gamma \pmod 1$ satisfying equations $(\frac{\alpha}{d}+\beta)\frac{s_1}{d_1}+\beta_1\equiv\frac{\alpha}{d}s+\gamma \pmod 1, d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1$. Therefore $A=B$, i.e.

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(u)\psi(au^m)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } d\nmid(q-1)\alpha\\ \sum\limits_{\beta}\sum\limits_{\beta_1}\sum\limits_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}(\chi^{\frac{1}{d}}\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\beta_1}(v)\psi(av) & \text{if } d|(q-1)\alpha\\ =\sum\limits_{\gamma}\sum\limits_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma(v)\psi(av)\end{cases}$$

where $d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1$. □

Remark 3.12 *The book[4, 1.1.4] gives a formula* $\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\psi(au^k)=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}g_a(\psi,\chi^j)$*, where* χ *is a character of order* k *on* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *and* $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$*, which is only valid for* $k|(q-1)$*. However, the above proposition is already known to I. M. Gel'fand, etc. [7, 9.2] as a corollary of their Proposition 8.5.*

Corollary 3.13 *Let* χ_α,χ_β *be multiplicative character of* $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ *and* ψ *be a fixed non-trivial additive character of* $\mathbb{F}_q$*. Let* $a\in\mathbb{F}_q$*, and let* m *be a positive integer and* $(m,q-1)=d$*. Then*

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi_\alpha(u)\chi_\beta(u)\psi(au^m)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } d\nmid(q-1)(\alpha+\beta)\\ \sum\limits_{\gamma}g_a(\psi,(\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma) & \text{if } d|(q-1)(\alpha+\beta)\end{cases},$$

where $d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1$*.*

□

In other words, let $f_1(t)=\psi(at^m), f_2(t)=\chi_\alpha(t)\psi(at^m)$ and $(m,q-1)=d$. Then

$$\hat{f}_1(\chi_\beta)=\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi_\beta(u)\psi(au^m)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } d\nmid(q-1)\beta\\ \sum\limits_{\gamma}g_a(\psi,\chi_\beta^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma) & \text{if } d|(q-1)\beta\end{cases},$$

where $d\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_2(\chi_\beta) &= \textstyle\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi_\beta(u)\chi_\alpha(u)\psi(au^m)\\ &= \begin{cases}0 & \text{if } d\nmid(q-1)(\beta+\alpha)\\ \sum\limits_{\gamma}g_a(\psi,(\chi_\beta\chi_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma) & \text{if } d|(q-1)(\beta+\alpha),\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where $d\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Hence the corresponding Fourier inversion formulae are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) = \psi(at^m) = \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t)\hat{f}_1(\chi_\beta) &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t)\sum_{\gamma} g_a(\psi, \chi_\beta^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta,\gamma} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t) g_a(\psi, \chi_\beta^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{q-1}{d}\beta \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(t) = \chi_\alpha(t)\psi(at^m) &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t)\hat{f}_2(\chi_\beta) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t)\sum_{\gamma} g_a(\psi, (\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta,\gamma} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t) g_a(\psi, (\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta)^{\frac{1}{m}}\chi_\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{q-1}{d}(\alpha+\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Now let $f = \chi_{\alpha_1}(t)\psi(a_1 t^{m_1}), g = \chi_{\alpha_2}(t)\psi(a_2 t^{m_2}), d_1 = (m_1, q-1), d_2 = (m_2, q-1)$. Then

$$(f * g)(t) = \sum_{uv=t} f(u)g(v) = \sum_{uv=t} \chi_{\alpha_1}(u)\psi(a_1 u^{m_1})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v)\psi(a_2 v^{m_2}).$$

Since $(f \hat{*} g)(\chi) = \hat{f}(\chi)\hat{g}(\chi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \hat{*} g)(\chi_\beta) &= \sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_\beta(t)\Big(\sum_{uv=t} \chi_{\alpha_1}(u)\psi(a_1 u^{m_1})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v)\psi(a_2 v^{m_2})\Big) \\ &= \Big(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_\beta(t)\chi_{\alpha_1}(t)\psi(a_1 t^{m_1})\Big)\Big(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_\beta(t)\chi_{\alpha_2}(t)\psi(a_2 t^{m_2})\Big) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_1 \nmid (q-1)(\alpha_1+\beta) \text{ or } d_2 \nmid (q-1)(\alpha_2+\beta) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} g_{a_1}(\psi, (\chi_\beta\chi_{\alpha_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\gamma_1}) g_{a_2}(\psi, (\chi_\beta\chi_{\alpha_2})^{\frac{1}{m_2}}\chi_{\gamma_2}) & \\ \qquad \text{if } d_1 | (q-1)(\alpha_1+\beta) \text{ and } d_2 | (q-1)(\alpha_2+\beta), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $d_1\gamma_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_2\gamma_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

The corresponding Fourier inversion formula is

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(t) &= \sum_{uv=t} \chi_{\alpha_1}(u)\psi(a_1 u^{m_1})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v)\psi(a_2 v^{m_2}) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t)(f \hat{*} g)(\chi_\beta) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta,\gamma_1,\gamma_2} \bar{\chi}_\beta(t) g_{a_1}(\psi, (\chi_\beta\chi_{\alpha_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1}}\chi_{\gamma_1}) g_{a_2}(\psi, (\chi_\beta\chi_{\alpha_2})^{\frac{1}{m_2}}\chi_{\gamma_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ satisfy $\frac{q-1}{d_1}(\alpha_1+\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_1\gamma_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\frac{q-1}{d_2}(\alpha_2+\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod 1, d_2\gamma_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Definition 3.14 *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field. We denote the number of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the equation $x^m = a$ by $N_m(a)$ and denote the number of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of*

the system of equations $x^{m_1} = a_1, x^{m_2} = a_2, \cdots, x^{m_r} = a_r$ *by* $N_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_r}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r)$*, i.e.*

$$N_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_r}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r) = \sharp\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q | x^{m_1} = a_1, x^{m_2} = a_2, \cdots, x^{m_r} = a_r\}.$$

Proposition 3.15 *Let* $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r$ *be positive integers. Suppose* $(m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r) = d, m_1 s_1 + m_2 s_2 + \cdots + m_r s_r = d$ *and* $m_i = m_i' d$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_r}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r)\\
&= N_d(a_1^{s_1} a_2^{s_2} \cdots a_r^{s_r}) \cdot \delta(a_1^{m_2' s_2 + m_3' s_3 + \cdots + m_r' s_r}, a_2^{m_1' s_2} a_3^{m_1' s_3} \cdots a_r^{m_1' s_r})\\
&\quad \cdot \delta(a_2^{m_1' s_1 + m_3' s_3 + \cdots + m_r' s_r}, a_1^{m_2' s_1} a_3^{m_2' s_3} \cdots a_r^{m_2' s_r})\\
&\quad \cdots\\
&\quad \cdot \delta(a_r^{m_1' s_1 + m_2' s_2 + \cdots + m_{r-1}' s_{r-1}}, a_1^{m_r' s_1} a_2^{m_r' s_2} \cdots a_{r-1}^{m_r' s_{r-1}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(x, y) = 1$ *if* $x = y$ *and zero otherwise.*

Proof. If $x^{m_1} = a_1, x^{m_2} = a_2, \cdots,$ and $x^{m_r} = a_r$, then

$$x^d = (x^{m_1})^{s_1} (x^{m_2})^{s_2} \cdots (x^{m_r})^{s_r} = a_1^{s_1} a_2^{s_2} \cdots a_r^{s_r}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^{m_2' s_2 + m_3' s_3 + \cdots + m_r' s_r} &= a_1^{\frac{m_2 s_2 + m_3 s_3 + \cdots + m_r s_r}{d}}\\
&= (x^{m_1})^{\frac{m_2 s_2 + m_3 s_3 + \cdots + m_r s_r}{d}}\\
&= (x^{m_1'})^{m_2 s_2 + m_3 s_3 + \cdots + m_r s_r}\\
&= a_2^{m_1' s_2} a_3^{m_1' s_3} \cdots a_r^{m_1' s_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for others. Conversely, if $x^d = a_1^{s_1} a_2^{s_2} \cdots a_r^{s_r}$, then the equality $a_1^{m_2' s_2 + m_3' s_3 + \cdots + m_r' s_r} = a_2^{m_1' s_2} a_3^{m_1' s_3} \cdots a_r^{m_1' s_r}$ is equivalent to the equality $x^{m_1} = a_1$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{m_1} = (x^d)^{m_1'} = (a_1^{s_1} a_2^{s_2} \cdots a_r^{s_r})^{m_1'} &= a_1^{m_1' s_1} a_2^{m_1' s_2} a_3^{m_1' s_3} \cdots a_r^{m_1' s_r}\\
&= a_1^{m_1' s_1} a_1^{m_2' s_2 + m_3' s_3 + \cdots + m_r' s_r}\\
&= a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for others.

□

Corollary 3.16 *Let* m, n *be positive integers. Suppose* $(m, n) = d, ms + nt = d, m = m_1 d, n = n_1 d$*. Then* $N_{m,n}(a, b) = N_d(a^s b^t)\delta(a^{n_1}, b^{m_1})$*, where* $\delta(x, y) = 1$ *if* $x = y$ *and zero otherwise.*

Proof. From the above proposition, we have

$$N_{m,n}(a, b) = N_d(a^s b^t)\delta(a^{n_1 t}, b^{m_1 t})\delta(b^{m_1 s}, a^{n_1 s}).$$

If $a^{n_1 t} = b^{m_1 t}$ and $b^{m_1 s} = a^{n_1 s}$, then $a^{n_1} = (a^{n_1})^{(sm_1 + tn_1)} = (a^{n_1 s})^{m_1} (a^{n_1 t})^{n_1} = (b^{m_1 s})^{m_1} (b^{m_1 t})^{n_1} = (b^{m_1})^{(sm_1 + tn_1)} = b^{m_1}$, as $m_1 s + n_1 t = 1$. □

Proposition 3.17 *([9, Proposition 10.3.3]) Let $\alpha, x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{q}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_q}\psi(\alpha(x-y)) = \delta(x,y),$$

where $\delta(x,y) = 1$ if $x = y$ and zero otherwise.

4 Zeta function

This section we employ the results developed in the former section to study trinomial curves. We will first calculate the zeta function of a trinomial curve C over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$, then determine the finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q'^2}$ such that C is maximal over these finite fields.

We consider case 5 before other cases.

4.1 Case 5

(5)$x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0$ and $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, and $n_1 \geq m_1$, if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$.

Suppose C is an irreducible affine curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with equation of the form (5), i. e. $x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0$ and $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, and $n_1 \geq m_1$, if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$. We will first express the number of points of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ as sum of product of Gauss sum and Jacobi sum, then to compute the zeta function of the curve.

Let $(m_1, m) = d_1, m_1 = m_1'd_1, m = m'd_1, m_1s_1 + mt_1 = d_1, (n_1, n) = d_2, n_1 = n_1'd_2, n = n'd_2, n_1s_2 + nt_2 = d_2, (d_1, q-1) = d_1', (d_2, q-1) = d_2', (m_1', q-1) = l_1, (n_1', q-1) = l_2, (m', q-1) = l_3$ and $(n', q-1) = l_4$. Let N be the number of points in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the curve C. Put $L(u,v) = u_0v_0 + k_1u_1 + k_2v_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{m_1,m}(u_0,u_1)N_{n_1,n}(v_0,v_1) \\ &= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{d_1}(u_0^{s_1}u_1^{t_1})\delta(u_0^{m'}, u_1^{m_1'})N_{d_2}(v_0^{s_2}v_1^{t_2})\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we divide u, v into 4 cases:

1. $u_0 \neq 0, u_1 \neq 0, v_0 \neq 0, v_1 \neq 0$;
2. $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 0, v_0 \neq 0, v_1 \neq 0$; which is impossible since then $L(u,v) \neq 0$.
3. $u_0 \neq 0, u_1 \neq 0, v_0 = 0, v_1 = 0$; which is also impossible for the same reason.
4. $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 0, v_0 = 0, v_1 = 0$;

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N\\
&= 1+\sum_{\substack{L(u,v)=0\\ u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}(\sum_{\alpha_1}\chi_{\alpha_1}(u_0^{s_1}u_1^{t_1}))(\sum_{\alpha_2}\chi_{\alpha_2}(v_0^{s_2}v_1^{t_2}))(\frac{1}{q}\sum_{a_1\in\mathbb{F}_q}\psi(a_1(u_0^{m'}-u_1^{m_1'})))\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(\frac{1}{q}\sum_{a_2\in\mathbb{F}_q}\psi(a_2(v_0^{n'}-v_1^{n_1'})))\\
&\qquad\qquad(d_i'\alpha_i\equiv 0 \pmod 1, 0\le\alpha_i<1, \text{for i=1,2})\\
&= 1+\frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{\substack{L(u,v)=0\\ u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\sum_{\alpha,a}\chi_{\alpha_1}(u_0^{s_1}u_1^{t_1})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v_0^{s_2}v_1^{t_2})\psi(a_1(u_0^{m'}-u_1^{m_1'}))\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\psi(a_2(v_0^{n'}-v_1^{n_1'}))\\
&\qquad\qquad(d_i'\alpha_i\equiv 0 \pmod 1, a_i\in\mathbb{F}_q, 0\le\alpha_i<1, \text{for i=1,2})\\
&= 1+\frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{\alpha,a}\sum_{\substack{L(u,v)=0\\ u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{\alpha_1}(u_1^{t_1})\psi(-a_1u_1^{m_1'})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v_1^{t_2})\psi(-a_2v_1^{n_1'})\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\chi_{\alpha_1}(u_0^{s_1})\psi(a_1u_0^{m'})\chi_{\alpha_2}(v_0^{s_2})\psi(a_2v_0^{n'})\\
&= 1+\frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{\alpha,a}\sum_{\substack{w_1+u_1+v_1=0\\ u_1,v_1,w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(u_1)\psi(-a_1k_1^{-m_1'}u_1^{m_1'})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1})\\
&\quad\cdot\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(v_1)\psi(-a_2k_2^{-n_1'}v_1^{n_1'})\cdot\sum_{\substack{u_0\\ v_0=w_1\\ w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}(u_0)\psi(a_1u_0^{m'})\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}(v_0)\psi(a_2v_0^{n'})\\
&= 1+\frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{\alpha,a}\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1})\sum_{\substack{u_1+v_1+w_1=0\\ u_1,v_1,w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(u_1)\psi(-a_1k_1^{-m_1'}u_1^{m_1'})\\
&\quad\cdot\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(v_1)\psi(-a_2k_2^{-n_1'}v_1^{n_1'})\cdot\sum_{\substack{u_0\\ v_0=w_1\\ w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}(u_0)\psi(a_1u_0^{m'})\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}(v_0)\psi(a_2v_0^{n'})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 1+\frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{\alpha,a}\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1})\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{\substack{u_1+v_1+w_1=0\\ u_1,v_1,w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}}(\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta_1,\gamma_1}\bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}(u_1)g_{-a_1k_1^{-m_1'}}(\psi,(\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1}))\\
&\quad\cdot(\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta_2,\gamma_2}\bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}(v_1)g_{-a_2k_2^{-n_1'}}(\psi,(\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2}))\\
&\quad\cdot(\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\beta_3,\gamma_3,\gamma_4}\bar{\chi}_{\beta_3}(w_1)g_{a_1}(\psi,(\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{m'}}\chi_{\gamma_3})g_{a_2}(\psi,(\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{n'}}\chi_{\gamma_4}))\\
&\qquad(a_i\in\mathbb{F}_q, d_i'\alpha_i\equiv 0 \pmod 1, 0\le\alpha_i<1, \text{for i=1,2}\\
&\qquad\frac{q-1}{l_1}(t_1\alpha_1+\beta_1)\equiv 0 \pmod 1, l_1\gamma_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1,\\
&\qquad\frac{q-1}{l_2}(t_2\alpha_2+\beta_2)\equiv 0 \pmod 1, l_2\gamma_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1,\\
&\qquad\frac{q-1}{l_3}(s_1\alpha_1+\beta_3)\equiv 0 \pmod 1, l_3\gamma_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1,\\
&\qquad\frac{q-1}{l_4}(s_2\alpha_2+\beta_3)\equiv 0 \pmod 1, l_4\gamma_4\equiv 0 \pmod 1).(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 1 + \tfrac{1}{q^2(q-1)^3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,a} \chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1}) g_{-a_1 k_1^{-m_1'}}(\psi, (\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1}) \\
&\quad \cdot g_{-a_2 k_2^{-n_1'}}(\psi, (\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2}) \cdot g_{a_1}(\psi, (\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{m'}}\chi_{\gamma_3}) \\
&\quad \cdot g_{a_2}(\psi, (\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{n'}}\chi_{\gamma_4}) \cdot \sum_{\substack{u_1+v_1+w_1=0 \\ u_1,v_1,w_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} \bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}(u_1)\bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}(v_1)\bar{\chi}_{\beta_3}(w_1) \\
&= 1 + \tfrac{1}{q^2(q-1)^3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,a} \chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1}) g_{-a_1 k_1^{-m_1'}}(\psi, (\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1}) \\
&\quad \cdot g_{-a_2 k_2^{-n_1'}}(\psi, (\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2}) \cdot g_{a_1}(\psi, (\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{m'}}\chi_{\gamma_3}) \\
&\quad \cdot g_{a_2}(\psi, (\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{n'}}\chi_{\gamma_4}) \cdot j_0(\bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_3}) \\
&= 1 + \tfrac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \chi_{t_1\alpha_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}(k_2^{-1}) ((\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1})(k_1^{m_1'}) \\
&\quad \cdot ((\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2})(k_2^{n_1'}) j_0(\bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_3})
\end{aligned}
$$

where apart from the conditions $(*)$, the sum satisfies two additional conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1}(\chi_{s_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{m'}}\chi_{\gamma_3} &= \epsilon, \\
(\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2}(\chi_{s_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_3})^{\frac{1}{n'}}\chi_{\gamma_4} &= \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
= 1 + \tfrac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \chi_{\beta_1}(k_1)\chi_{\beta_2}(k_2) j_0(\bar{\chi}_{\beta_1}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_2}, \bar{\chi}_{\beta_3})
$$

Now let $(\chi_{t_1\alpha_1}\chi_{\beta_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_1} = \chi_{\delta_1}, (\chi_{t_2\alpha_2}\chi_{\beta_2})^{\frac{1}{n_1'}}\chi_{\gamma_2} = \chi_{\delta_2}$. Then from the lemma 3.10 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N = 1 + \tfrac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha,\delta} &\chi_{t_1\alpha_1 - m_1'\delta_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{t_2\alpha_2 - n_1'\delta_2}(k_2^{-1}) \\
&\cdot j_0(\chi_{t_1\alpha_1 - m_1'\delta_1}, \chi_{t_2\alpha_2 - n_1'\delta_2}, \chi_{s_1\alpha_1 + m'\delta_1})
\end{aligned}
$$

where α, δ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_1\alpha_1 + m'\delta_1 \equiv s_2\alpha_2 + n'\delta_2 \pmod 1, \\
d_i'\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1, (q-1)\delta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \\
0 \le \alpha_i < 1, 0 \le \delta_i < 1, \text{for i=1,2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and additionally

$$
t_1\alpha_1 - m_1'\delta_1 + t_2\alpha_2 - n_1'\delta_2 + s_1\alpha_1 + m'\delta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1
$$

due to the property of j_0.

Clearly α_i also satisfies $(q-1)\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, hence $d_i'\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \Leftrightarrow d_i\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, so we need to consider the following system of linear congruence

$$
\begin{cases}
\begin{aligned}
m'\delta_1 \quad -n'\delta_2 \quad &+s_1\alpha_1 \quad -s_2\alpha_2 \quad &\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\
(m'-m_1')\delta_1 \quad -n_1'\delta_2 \quad &+(t_1+s_1)\alpha_1 \quad +t_2\alpha_2 \quad &\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\
&\quad d_1\alpha_1 \quad &\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\
&\quad\quad\quad

Let

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} m' & -n' & s_1 & -s_2 \\ m'-m_1' & -n_1' & t_1+s_1 & t_2 \\ 0 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}, X=\begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $AX \equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

Following the method of [5] or [14], we can show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -m_1 & 1 & 0 \\ n_1 & -n_1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_1 & -s_1 & t_1 \\ 0 & t_2 & s_2 & t_2+s_2 \\ m_1' & m_1' & m' & m_1' \\ 0 & n_1' & -n' & n_1'-n' \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m & m_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -n & n_1-n \end{pmatrix}$$

We denote the above equality by $UAV = B$, then $AX \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \Leftrightarrow UAVV^{-1}X \equiv 0 \pmod 1$. Let $V^{-1}X = Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^t$. Then $BY \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, and from $X = VY$ we have $t_1\alpha_1 - m_1'\delta_1 = y_3, t_2\alpha_2 - n_1'\delta_2 = -y_3 - y_4$ and $s_1\alpha_1 + m'\delta_1 = y_1 + y_2 + y_4$. Let $y_3 = \theta_2, -y_3 - y_4 = \theta_1$. Then

$$N = 1 + \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(k_2^{-1}) \chi_{\theta_2}(k_1^{-1}) j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}),$$

where θ_1, θ_2 satisfy $(q-1)\theta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1 - m \\ n_1 - n & n_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

For $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2})$, we divide it into 5 cases.

1. $\theta_1 \equiv \theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) = (q-1)(q-2)$.

2. $\theta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \theta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) = -(q-1)\chi_{\theta_2}(-1)$, and from $m_1\theta_1 + (m_1 - m)\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, (n_1 - n)\theta_1 + n_1\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, we have $(m_1 - m)\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, n_1\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $(q-1)\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, which are equivalent to $(m_1 - m, n_1, q-1)\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\theta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, where $(m_1 - m, n_1, q-1)$ denotes the g.c.d. of $m_1 - m, n_1, q-1$.

3. $\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \theta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) = -(q-1)\chi_{\theta_1}(-1)$, and from $m_1\theta_1 + (m_1 - m)\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, (n_1 - n)\theta_1 + n_1\theta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, we have $m_1\theta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, (n_1 - n)\theta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $(q-1)\theta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, which are equivalent to $(m_1, n_1 - n, q-1)\theta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\theta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

4. $\theta_1+\theta_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \theta_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1},\chi_{\theta_2},\chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2})=-(q-1)\chi_{\theta_1}(-1)$, and from $m_1(\theta_1+\theta_2)-m\theta_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1, (n_1-n)(\theta_1+\theta_2)+n\theta_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\theta_2\equiv -\theta_1 \pmod 1$, we have $m\theta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1, n\theta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $(q-1)\theta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, which are equivalent to $(m,n,q-1)\theta_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\theta_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$.

5. $\theta_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \theta_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \theta_1+\theta_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then $j_0(\chi_{\theta_1},\chi_{\theta_2},\chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2})=\frac{q-1}{q}g(\psi,\chi_{\theta_1})g(\psi,\chi_{\theta_2})g(\psi,\chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2})$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} N= \quad & q-1-\sum_{\substack{(m_1-m,n_1,q-1)\xi_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1\\ \xi_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}}\chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})\\ & -\sum_{\substack{(m_1,n_1-n,q-1)\xi_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1\\ \xi_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}}\chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})\\ & -\sum_{\substack{(m,n,q-1)\xi_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1\\ \xi_3\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}}\chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})\\ & +\frac{1}{q}\sum_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2}\chi_{\zeta_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_2}(k_1^{-1})g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_1})g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_2})g(\psi,\chi_{-\zeta_1-\zeta_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last sum ζ_1,ζ_2 satisfy $(q-1)\zeta_i\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i=1,2$, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1-m\\ n_1-n & n_1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_1\\ \zeta_2\end{pmatrix}\equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

and

$$\zeta_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_1+\zeta_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

Let $p=char(\mathbb{F}_q), \zeta=\frac{a}{b}\in\mathbb{Q}$ with $(a,b)=1$, note that $(q^r-1)\zeta\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for some positive integer r if and only if $p\nmid b$. In other words, let $v_p(\cdot)$ be the p-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$, then $(q^r-1)\zeta\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for some $r\in\mathbb{N}$ if and only if $v_p(\zeta)\geq 0$. On the other hand, let $m=p^km'\in\mathbb{Z}, (p,m')=1, k\geq 0$, then $(m,q^r-1)=(m'(p^k,q^r-1),q^r-1)=(m',q^r-1)$. Hence we will denote m' by m_p in the following calculation of zeta function.

Now let $\bar{C}$ be the projective curve given by the equation of the affine curve C. Then the number $\bar{N}$ of rational points over $\mathbb{F}_q$, on the curve $\bar{C}$, is related to the number N by $\bar{N}=N+2$, since $\bar{C}$ has 2 points at infinity, namely $[1:0:0]$ and $[0:1:0]$. We are going to calculate the zeta function of the curve $\bar{C}$. We will use the following notation as in [22]:

Notation 4.1 *$\bar{N}_v$ is the number of points of the curve $\bar{C}$ over the extension of degree v of the ground-field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_r$ be a set of rational numbers and $\alpha_i\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i=1,,\cdots,r$. We denote by $\mu=\mu(\alpha)=\mu(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_r)$ be the smallest positive integer such that $(q^\mu-1)\alpha_i\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $1\leq i\leq r$. Suppose $\chi_{\alpha_1},\chi_{\alpha_2},\cdots,\chi_{\alpha_r}$ be multiplicative characters in the extension field k of degree $\mu(\alpha)$ of the ground field $\mathbb{F}_q$, we denote by $\chi_{\alpha_i,\lambda}, g(\psi,\chi_{\alpha_i,\lambda}), j(\chi_{\alpha_1,\lambda},\cdots,\chi_{\alpha_r,\lambda}), j_0(\chi_{\alpha_1,\lambda},\cdots,\chi_{\alpha_r,\lambda})$ the corresponding characters and sums for the extension of degree λ of the field k.*

Remark 4.2 *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r$ be a set of rational numbers and $\alpha_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$. Let ω be a generator of $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\alpha)}}$. Let χ be a multiplicative character on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\alpha)}}$ defined by $\chi(\omega) = e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{q^{\mu(\alpha)}-1}}$. Since $(q^{\mu(\alpha)} - 1)\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, we can find r characters $\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_r$ on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\alpha)}}$ such that $\chi_1(\omega) = e^{2\pi i\alpha_1}, \cdots, \chi_r(\omega) = e^{2\pi i\alpha_r}$. Using former notation we can denote $\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_r$ by $\chi_{\alpha_1,\omega}, \cdots, \chi_{\alpha_r,\omega}$ or $\chi_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \chi_{\alpha_r}$ to omit ω if not confused. Hence whenever we talk about $\chi_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \chi_{\alpha_r}$ on a finite field $\mathbb{F}^*_q$, we always mean that we have chosen a generator ω of $\mathbb{F}^*_q$ such that $\chi_{\alpha_i}(\omega) = e^{2\pi i\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$.*

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \bar{N}_v U^{v-1} \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (q^v + 1)U^{v-1} - \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(m_1-m,n_1,q^v-1)\xi_{1,v} \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_{1,v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \chi_{\xi_{1,v}}(-k_1^{-1})U^{v-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(m_1,n_1-n,q^v-1)\xi_{2,v} \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_{2,v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \chi_{\xi_{2,v}}(-k_2^{-1})U^{v-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(m,n,q^v-1)\xi_{3,v} \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_{3,v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \chi_{\xi_{3,v}}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{v-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^v} \sum_{\substack{\zeta_{1,v} \\ \zeta_{2,v}}} \chi_{\zeta_{1,v}}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_{2,v}}(k_1^{-1}) g(\psi, \chi_{\zeta_{1,v}}) g(\psi, \chi_{\zeta_{2,v}}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot g(\psi, \chi_{-\zeta_{1,v}-\zeta_{2,v}}) U^{v-1} \\
&\qquad \text{where } \zeta_{1,v}, \zeta_{2,v} \text{ satisfy } \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1 - m \\ n_1 - n & n_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{1,v} \\ \zeta_{2,v} \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\
&\qquad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{i,v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, (q^v - 1)\zeta_{i,v} \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \text{for i=1,2,} \\
&\qquad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{1,v} + \zeta_{2,v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \\
&= \frac{q}{1-qU} + \frac{1}{1-U} - \sum_{\substack{(m_1-m,n_1)_p\xi_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_{1,\lambda}}(-k_1^{-1})U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_1)-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{(m_1,n_1-n)_p\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_{2,\lambda}}(-k_2^{-1})U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_2)-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{(m,n)_p\xi_3 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_{3,\lambda}}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_3)-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{\lambda\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}} \chi_{\zeta_{1,\lambda}}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_{2,\lambda}}(k_1^{-1}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot g(\psi, \chi_{\zeta_{1,\lambda}}) g(\psi, \chi_{\zeta_{2,\lambda}}) g(\psi, \chi_{-\zeta_{1,\lambda}-\zeta_{2,\lambda}}) U^{\lambda\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)-1} \\
&\qquad \text{where } \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \text{ satisfy } \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1 - m \\ n_1 - n & n_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\
&\qquad \text{and} \quad \zeta_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, } \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \tfrac{q}{1-qU} + \tfrac{1}{1-U} - \sum_{\xi_1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})^\lambda U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_1)-1}$$
$$- \sum_{\xi_2} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})^\lambda U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_2)-1} - \sum_{\xi_3} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})^\lambda U^{\lambda\mu(\xi_3)-1}$$
$$+ \sum_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{q^{\lambda\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}} \chi_{\zeta_1}(k_2^{-1})^\lambda \chi_{\zeta_2}(k_1^{-1})^\lambda$$
$$\cdot g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_1})^\lambda g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_2})^\lambda g(\psi,\chi_{-\zeta_1-\zeta_2})^\lambda U^{\lambda\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)-1}$$
$$= \tfrac{q}{1-qU} + \tfrac{1}{1-U} - \sum_{\xi_1} \tfrac{\chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_1)-1}}{1-\chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_1)}} - \sum_{\xi_2} \tfrac{\chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_2)-1}}{1-\chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_2)}}$$
$$- \sum_{\xi_3} \tfrac{\chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_3)-1}}{1-\chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_3)}} - \sum_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} \tfrac{C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)U^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)-1}}{1-C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)U^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}}$$
where $C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) = -\tfrac{1}{q^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}} \chi_{\zeta_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_2}(k_1^{-1}) g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_1}) g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_2})$
$$\cdot g(\psi,\chi_{-\zeta_1-\zeta_2})$$
$$= -\tfrac{d}{dU}\log(1-qU) - \tfrac{d}{dU}\log(1-U) + \sum_{\xi_1} \tfrac{1}{\mu(\xi_1)} \tfrac{d}{dU} \log(1-\chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_1)})$$
$$+ \sum_{\xi_2} \tfrac{1}{\mu(\xi_2)} \tfrac{d}{dU} \log(1-\chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_2)})$$
$$+ \sum_{\xi_3} \tfrac{1}{\mu(\xi_3)} \tfrac{d}{dU} \log(1-\chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_3)})$$
$$+ \sum_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} \tfrac{1}{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)} \tfrac{d}{dU} \log(1-C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)U^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)})$$
$$= \tfrac{d}{dU}\log\Big(\tfrac{1}{(1-U)(1-qU)} \cdot \prod_{\substack{(m_1-m,n_1)_p\xi_1\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_1\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} (1-\chi_{\xi_1}(-k_1^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_1)})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{(m_1,n_1-n)_p\xi_2\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_2\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} (1-\chi_{\xi_2}(-k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_2)})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{(m,n)_p\xi_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ \xi_3\not\equiv 0 \pmod 1}} (1-\chi_{\xi_3}(-k_1k_2^{-1})U^{\mu(\xi_3)})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} (1-C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)U^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}))$$
where ζ_1,ζ_2 satisfy $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1-m \\ n_1-n & n_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1$
and $\zeta_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \geq 0$, for i=1,2, $\zeta_1+\zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ but taking only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho\zeta_1, q^\rho\zeta_2)$ with $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)$. Similarly for ξ_i.

Hence by [2, Theorem 2.1], the numerator of the zeta function of the non-singular model $\tilde{C}$ of the affine curve C is

$$P_{\tilde{C}}(U) = \prod_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} (1-C(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)U^{\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)})$$
$$= \prod_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2} (1+\tfrac{1}{q^{\mu(\zeta)}}\chi_{\zeta_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_2}(k_1^{-1}) g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_1}) g(\psi,\chi_{\zeta_2}) g(\psi,\chi_{-\zeta_1-\zeta_2}) U^{\mu(\zeta)})$$

the product being taking over all $\zeta = (\zeta_1,\zeta_2)^t$ satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_1-m \\ n_1-n & n_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1$$

and $\zeta_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \geq 0$, for i=1,2, $\zeta_1+\zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ but taking only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho\zeta_1, q^\rho\zeta_2)$ with $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)^t, \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n)^t$ with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let p be a prime number, denote $v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ by $v_p(\alpha) \geq 0$. Let m_α be the smallest positive integer such that $m_\alpha \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Similarly for m_β. Suppose $\alpha = U\beta$ with $U = (u_{i,j})_{n\times n} \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$, $m_\alpha = m_\beta$, and $v_p(\alpha) \geq 0$ if and only if $v_p(\beta) \geq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $(q^{\mu(\beta)} - 1)\beta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $(q^{\mu(\beta)} - 1)\alpha_i \equiv (q^{\mu(\beta)} - 1)(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}\beta_j) \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\mu(\alpha) \leq \mu(\beta)$. Since $\beta = U^{-1}\alpha$, we also have $\mu(\beta) \leq \mu(\alpha)$. Therefore $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$. Similarly we have $m_\alpha = m_\beta$.

Let $\alpha_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}, (r_i, s_i) = 1$, then m_α is the least common multiple of $s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n$. Since $v_p(\alpha) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow p \nmid m_\alpha$ and $m_\alpha = m_\beta$, we have $v_p(\alpha) \geq 0$ if and only if $v_p(\beta) \geq 0$. □

Proposition 4.4 *Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 matrix with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the determinant $|A| \neq 0$. Let $d = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22})$. Let C be a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose the numerator of the zeta function of the curve C is of the form*

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2} (1 + k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) U^{\mu(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)})$$

the product being taking over all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^t$ satisfying $A\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\zeta_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \geq 0$, for i=1,2, $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ but taking only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho \zeta_1, q^\rho \zeta_2)$ with $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$. And for any such pair (ζ_1, ζ_2), $k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ is a nonzero complex number. Let $m_C := \max\{m_\zeta : $ for all pairs $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^t$ in the product of $P_C(U)\}$. Then

(1) the genus $g(C)$ of C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$\frac{||A||_p - (a_{11}, a_{21})_p - (a_{12}, a_{22})_p - (a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p}{2} + 1,$$

where $||A||$ is the absolute value of $|A|$.

(2) Suppose $p \nmid d$ and the genus $g(C) > 0$, then $m_C = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$.

Proof. (1) We know that ([14] or [8, Chapter 14]) the Smith normal form of the matrix A is $B = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|A|}{d} \end{pmatrix}$, that's to say, we can find matrices $U, V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $UAV = B$. Let $V^{-1}\zeta = \eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^t$, then $d\eta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, $\frac{|A|}{d}\eta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$. Since $\mu(\zeta) = \mu(V^{-1}\zeta) = \mu(\eta)$ by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{A\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ v_p(\zeta_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2,}}} \mu(\zeta) = \sum_{\substack{B\eta \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ v_p(\eta_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2,}}} \mu(\eta) = d_p \cdot |\frac{|A|}{d}|_p = ||A||_p,$$

where ζ's in the sum take only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho\zeta_1, q^\rho\zeta_2)$ with $0 \le \rho < \mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)$, similarly for η. Next we count the sum of $\mu(\zeta)$ for ζ satisfying $A\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\zeta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \ge 0$, for i=1,2, but taking only one representative for each set of pairs $(q^\rho\zeta_1, q^\rho\zeta_2)$ with $0 \le \rho < \mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)$. Since $A\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \ge 0$, for i=1,2 are equivalent to $(a_{11}, a_{21})_p\zeta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, we see that the sum of $\mu(\zeta)$ for this case is $(a_{11}, a_{21})_p - 1$. Similarly, the sum of $\mu(\zeta)$ for the case of $\zeta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ is $(a_{12}, a_{22})_p - 1$, and for the case of $\zeta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ is $(a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p - 1$. Therefore, $\deg P_C(U) = ||A||_p - 1 - ((a_{11}, a_{21})_p - 1) - ((a_{12}, a_{22})_p - 1) - ((a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p - 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g(C) &= \frac{\deg P_C(U)}{2} \\ &= \frac{||A||_p - (a_{11},a_{21})_p - (a_{12},a_{22})_p - (a_{11}-a_{12},a_{21}-a_{22})_p}{2} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

by [15, Theorem 5.1.15].

(2) Let N be the number of pairs $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^t$ satisfying $d\eta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$, $\frac{|A|}{d}\eta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\eta_i) \ge 0$, for i=1,2, $m_\eta = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$, then $N \ge d \cdot \varphi((\frac{||A||}{d})_p)$ since $d|\frac{|A|}{d}$, where φ is the Euler function. Thus in the case $d > 3$ or in the case $\max\{(a_{11}, a_{21})_p, (a_{12}, a_{22})_p, (a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p\} < (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$, we can see that $m_C = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$ by Lemma 4.3. Now suppose $d \le 3$ and $\max\{(a_{11}, a_{21})_p, (a_{12}, a_{22})_p, (a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p\} = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$. Assume that, for example, $d = 2, (a_{11}, a_{21})_p = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p, \max\{(a_{12}, a_{22})_p, (a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p\} < (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$. Note that the number of pairs $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^t$ satisfying $(a_{11}, a_{21})_p\zeta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, \zeta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \ge 0$, for i=1,2, $m_\zeta = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$ is $\varphi((\frac{||A||}{d})_p)$, we still see that $m_C = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$ since $N \ge 2 \cdot \varphi((\frac{||A||}{d})_p)$. So it is reduced to consider the case $d = 3, (a_{11}, a_{21})_p = (a_{12}, a_{22})_p = (a_{11} - a_{12}, a_{21} - a_{22})_p = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$, since for any other cases either $g(C) \le 0$ or we can easily see that $m_C = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$. For this case, we will show that $d = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p = 3$. In fact, let $d_1 = (a_{11}, a_{21}) = p^j m, \frac{||A||}{d} = \frac{|a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}|}{3} = p^i m, p \nmid m$, then $j \le i$ since $d_1|\frac{||A||}{d}$, and we have $\frac{a_{11}}{d_1}\frac{a_{22}}{3} - \frac{a_{21}}{d_1}\frac{a_{12}}{3} = \pm p^{i-j}$. It follows that $(\frac{a_{12}}{3}, \frac{a_{22}}{3})_p = 1$, i.e. $(a_{12}, a_{22})_p = 3$. Thus the number of pairs of $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^t$ satisfying $A\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and $\zeta_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\zeta_i) \ge 0$, for i=1,2, $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, m_\zeta = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$ is at least 2. Hence we also have $m_C = (\frac{||A||}{d})_p$ for this last case. □

Corollary 4.5 *Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and let C be a the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the geometrically irreducible curve with equation $x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0$, where $m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, $n_1 \ge m_1$, and if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \ge m$. Let $g(C)$ be the genus of C. If $i(C) = 0$, then $g(C) = 0$. If $i(C) > 0$, then the genus $g(C)$ of C is*

$$\frac{(m_1n + mn_1 - mn)_p - (m_1 - m, n_1)_p - (m_1, n_1 - n)_p - (m, n)_p}{2} + 1.$$

Proof. If $i(C) = 0$, then $g(C) = 0$ since $g(C) \leq i(C)$ by Theorem 2.9. If $i(C) > 0$, from Proposition 2.8 we know that $m_1 n + m n_1 - mn \geq 0$. □

Next we will show that the form of the numerator of zeta function before Lemma 4.3 has a similar form as in [19].

Lemma 4.6 *[19] Let k be a quadratic extension of the finite field k_0 of q elements. Denote by θ a nontrivial multiplicative character of k^* which is trivial on k_0^* and by ψ the standard additive character of k. Then*

$$g(\psi, \theta) = \theta(c)q$$

for some $c \in k^*$ which satisfies $Tr_{k/k_0}(c) = 0$.

Proposition 4.7 *Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 matrix with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the determinant $|A| \neq 0$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers such that*

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}\xi_1 + a_{12}\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ a_{21}\xi_1 + a_{22}\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2$, $(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$. (**) Let ω be a generator of $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$ such that $\chi_{\xi_1}, \chi_{\xi_2}, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2}$ are multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$ and $\chi_{\xi_i}(\omega) = e^{2\pi i \xi_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let $d = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22})$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$ and $(\frac{|A|}{d})_p | (q^n + 1)$ for some n. Then $\mu(\xi)$ is even and $\mu(\xi) = 2(n, \mu(\xi))$. Let $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}} \chi_{\xi_1}(k_1)\chi_{\xi_2}(k_2) g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_1}) g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_2}) g(\psi, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2}) = q^{\nu(\xi)}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 in [19]. We reproduce it here for the convenience of the reader.

We know that ([14] or [8, Chapter 14]) the Smith normal form of the 2×2 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|A|}{d} \end{pmatrix}$, that's to say, we can find matrices $U, V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $UAV = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|A|}{d} \end{pmatrix}$. Let $V^{-1}\xi^t = \eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^t$, then $d\eta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 1, \frac{|A|}{d}\eta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1$. Since $d | \frac{|A|}{d}$, we have $\frac{|A|}{d}\xi_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0$, then $(\frac{|A|}{d})_p \xi_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now given a pair of rational numbers (ξ_1, ξ_2) satisfies the condition (**), we first show that $\mu(\xi)$ is even.

Let m_ξ be the smallest positive integer such that $m_\xi \xi_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $m_\xi | (\frac{|A|}{d})_p$ and $\mu(\xi)$ is the smallest positive integer such that $m_\xi | (q^{\mu(\xi)} - 1)$ by the definition of $\mu(\xi)$. Hence $q + m_\xi\mathbb{Z}$ has order $\mu(\xi)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/m_\xi\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $q^n + m_\xi\mathbb{Z}$ has order 2 since $m_\xi | (\frac{|A|}{d})_p$ and $(\frac{|A|}{d})_p | (q^n + 1)$ by hypothesis, and $m_\xi > 2$ by (**). Let φ be the Euler function, and let $(\mathbb{Z}/m_\xi\mathbb{Z})^* = < \tau >$. Since $(q, (\frac{|A|}{d})_p) = 1$, it follows that $(q, m_\xi) = 1$, so we can suppose that

$q = \tau^a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mu(\xi) = \frac{\varphi(m_\xi)}{(a,\varphi(m_\xi))}$ and $2 = \frac{\varphi(m_\xi)}{(na,\varphi(m_\xi))}$, which implies that $\mu(\xi) = 2(n, \mu(\xi))$ and, in particular, that $\mu(\xi)$ is even.

Now let G be the group of multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$. Let H be the subgroup generated by $\chi_{\xi_1}, \chi_{\xi_2}$ in G. Then the order $|H|$ of H is the l.c.m. of the orders of $\chi_{\xi_1}, \chi_{\xi_2}$ in G. Hence $|H| = m_\xi$. Note that $\nu(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(\xi)$. Next we will show that $\chi_\beta = 1$ on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\nu(\xi)}}$ for all $\chi_\beta \in H$. It suffices to show that $\chi_{\frac{1}{m_\xi}} = 1$ on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\nu(\xi)}}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\nu(\xi)}} =< \omega^{\frac{q^{\mu(\xi)}-1}{q^{\nu(\xi)}-1}} >=< \omega^{q^{\nu(\xi)}+1} > \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\frac{1}{m_\xi}}(\omega^{q^{\nu(\xi)}+1}) = e^{2\pi i \frac{q^{\nu(\xi)}+1}{m_\xi}}.$$

Since $\nu(\xi) = (n, \mu(\xi))$, then $\frac{n}{\nu(\xi)}$ is odd and there exist integers a, b with a odd such that $\nu(\xi) = an + b\mu(\xi)$. Hence from $q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{m_\xi}$ and $q^{\mu(\xi)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m_\xi}$, we have $q^{\nu(\xi)} \equiv -1 \pmod{m_\xi}$, i.e. $m_\xi | (q^{\nu(\xi)} + 1)$. Thus $\chi_{\frac{1}{m_\xi}}(\omega^{q^{\nu(\xi)}+1}) = 1$.

Finally, for a character χ_γ on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$, if $\chi_\gamma(\omega) = e^{2\pi i\gamma}$ and $\gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1$, then χ_γ is a nontrivial multiplicative character on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$. It follows that $\chi_{\xi_1}, \chi_{\xi_2}$ and $\chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2}$ are all nontrivial on $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{\mu(\xi)}}$. Therefore, from the lemma 4.6 we see that

$$\frac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}}\chi_{\xi_1}(k_1)\chi_{\xi_2}(k_2)g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2}) = q^{\nu(\xi)}.$$

□

Summarizing the above results we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8 *Let C be the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the geometrically irreducible curve given by*

$$x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_1x^m + k_2y^n = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}^*_q; m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, and $n_1 \geq m_1$, if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$. Let $d = (m, n, m_1, n_1)$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers such that

$$\begin{cases} m_1\xi_1 + (m_1 - m)\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ (n_1 - n)\xi_1 + n_1\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, }, (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \quad (***)$$

Then

(1) The numerator of the zeta function of the curve C is

$$P_{\tilde{C}}(U) = \prod_\xi (1 + \frac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}}\chi_{\xi_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\xi_2}(k_1^{-1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2})U^{\mu(\xi)}).$$

(2) Suppose further that $((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p | (q^l + 1)$ *for some* l. *Then* $\mu(\xi)$ *is even and* $\mu(\xi) = 2(l, \mu(\xi))$. *Let* $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$, *then the numerator of the zeta function of the curve* C *is*

$$P_{\tilde{C}}(U) = \prod_{\xi} (1 + q^{\nu(\xi)} U^{\mu(\xi)}),$$

the product in (1) and (2) both being taking over all pairs $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ *satisfying* $(***)$ *but taking only one representative for each set of pairs* $(q^\rho \xi_1, q^\rho \xi_2)$ *with* $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\xi)$.

□

Lemma 4.9 *Let* m *be a positive integer and* q *be a prime power. Suppose* $(q, m) = 1$ *and the order of* q *in* $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ *is* k, *denoted by* $|q| = k$, *i. e.* k *is the least positive integer such that* $q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. *Then there exist* n *such that* $q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ *if and only if* k *is even and* $q^{\frac{k}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if there exist n such that $q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$, then k is even and $q^{\frac{k}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$.

Since $|q| = k$ and $q^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, we have $k|2n$. If k is odd, then $(2, k) = 1$, it follows that $k|n$. Hence $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, contrary to the hypothesis. Thus k is even, and from $k|2n$ we have $\frac{k}{2}|n$. Now suppose $n = \frac{k}{2}(2i) = ki$, then $q^n = (q^k)^i \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, contrary to the hypothesis. Thus $n = \frac{k}{2}(2i + 1) = ki + \frac{k}{2}$. Hence $q^{\frac{k}{2}} \equiv (q^k)^i q^{\frac{k}{2}} \equiv q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$.

□

Definition 4.10 *Let* C *be a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve defined over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *We will call the numerator of the zeta function of* C *the* $L-$ *polynomial of* $C/\mathbb{F}_q$ *as in [15], i.e.* $L(t) := (1 - t)(1 - qt)Z(t)$, *where* $Z(t)$ *is the zeta function of* C.

Proposition 4.11 *Let* C *be a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve defined over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *Suppose the* $L-$ *polynomial of* $C/\mathbb{F}_q$ *has the form:*

$$L_C(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + q^{\nu_i} t^{\mu_i}),$$

where ν_i *are positive integer and* $\mu_i = 2\nu_i$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq r$. *Let* n *be a positive integer such that* $\mu_i = 2(n, \mu_i)$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq r$. *Then the curve* C *is maximal over the finite field* $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$.

Proof. Since the L-polynomial of C over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is $L_C(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 + q^{\nu_i} t^{\mu_i}) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g}(1 - \alpha_j t)$, where g is the genus of the curve C, then the L-polynomial of C over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ is $L_{2n}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g}(1 - \alpha_j^{2n} t)$. As we know, C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \Leftrightarrow L_{2n}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g}(1 + q^n t) \Leftrightarrow -\alpha_j^{2n} = q^n$, i. e. $\alpha_j^{2n} = -q^n$

for all j, but $t = \frac{1}{\alpha_j}$ is a zero of $1 + q^{\nu_i} t^{\mu_i} = 0$ for some ν_i. It follows that for each j, $\alpha_j^{2\nu_i} = -q^{\nu_i}$ for some ν_i. Hence now it suffices to show that $\nu_i | n$ and $\frac{n}{\nu_i}$ are odd for all ν_i. Note that $\mu_i = 2(n, \mu_i)$ and $\mu_i = 2\nu_i$, we have $\nu_i = (n, \mu_i) = \nu_i(\frac{n}{\nu_i}, 2)$. It follows that $\nu_i | n$ and $\frac{n}{\nu_i}$ are odd for all ν_i indeed. □

Theorem 4.12 *Let C be the nonsingular model of the geometrically irreducible curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by*

$$x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q, k_1 k_2 \neq 0; m_1 + n_1 > m, m_1 + n_1 > n$, and $n_1 \geq m_1$, if $m_1 = n_1$ then $n \geq m$. Let $d = (m, n, m_1, n_1)$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$ and $((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p | (q+1)$. Then C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Conversely, if C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $g(C) > 0$, then $((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p | (q^2 - 1)$.

Proof. Taking $n = 1$, we can show that if $p \nmid d$ and $((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p | (q+1)$, then C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.11. For the converse, if C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $g(C) > 0$, then $\mu(\xi) = 1$ (cf. Theorem 4.8 (1)) for all ξ in the product of the numerator of the zeta function of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Since $m(C) = ((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p$ by Proposition 4.4, we have $((m_1 n + m(n_1 - n))/d)_p | (q^2 - 1)$. □

4.2 Other cases

4.2.1 Case 1

For the Fermat curve with equation $k_1 x^n + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q, k_1 k_2 \neq 0, n \geq 2$, it is well-known [12] that if $n | (q+1)$ then C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Let C be the curve given by $k_1 x^m + k_2 y^n + 1 = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1 k_2 \neq 0, n > m$. Let $d = (m, n), p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$ and $(mn/d) | (q+1)$, we can show that C is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by [19, Theorem 1] and Proposition 4.11. See also [16, Theorem 5].

4.2.2 Case 2

Let C be an geometrically irreducible affine curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with equation $k_1 x^m + k_2 y^{n_1} + y^n = 0$, where $k_1 k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, n > m, n > n_1$. Let $(n_1, n) = d, n_1 s + nt = d, n_1 = n_1' d, n = n' d, (m, q-1) = d_1, (d, q-1) = d_2, (n', q-1) = l_1, (n_1', q-1) = l_2$. Let N be the number of points in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the curve C. Put

$L(u) = k_1u_0 + k_2u_1 + u_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \sum_{L(u)=0} N_m(u_0)N_{n_1,n}(u_1,u_2) \\
&= \sum_{L(u)=0} N_m(u_0)N_d(u_1^s u_2^t)\delta(u_1^{n'}, u_2^{n_1'}) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{\substack{L(u)=0 \\ u_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} N_m(u_0)N_d(u_1^s u_2^t)\delta(u_1^{n'}, u_2^{n_1'}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k_2u_1+u_2=0 \\ u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} N_d(u_1^s u_2^t)\delta(u_1^{n'}, u_2^{n_1'}) \\
&= \cdots \\
&= 1 + \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{\theta_2}(k_2^{-1})j_0(\chi_{\theta_1},\chi_{\theta_2},\chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{(q-1,n-n_1)\theta_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1} \chi_{\theta_3}(k_2^{-1})j_0(\chi_{\theta_3},\chi_{-\theta_3}),
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 in the first sum satisfy $(q-1)\theta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ n & n-n_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

Thus similar to the case 5, we have

Theorem 4.13 *Let C be the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the geometrically irreducible curve given by*

$$k_1x^m + k_2y^{n_1} + y^n = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*; n > m, n > n_1$. Let $d = (m, n, n_1)$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$. Let $i(C) = \frac{(m-1)(n-n_1)-(d_1+d_2)}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = (m, n_1), d_2 = (m, n)$. Let $g(C)$ be the genus of C over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers such that

$$\begin{cases} m\xi_1 & & & \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ n\xi_1 & + & (n-n_1)\xi_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, }, (\xi_1+\xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Then

(1) If $i(C) = 0$, then $g(C) = 0$. If $i(C) > 0$, then

$$g(C) = \frac{(m(n-n_1))_p - (n-n_1)_p - (d_1)_p - (d_2)_p}{2} + 1.$$

(2) The numerator of the zeta function of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_C(U) \\
&= \prod_{\xi}(1 + \tfrac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}}\chi_{\xi_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{\xi_2}(k_2^{-1})g(\psi,\chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi,\chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi,\chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2})U^{\mu(\xi)}).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose further that $(m(n-n_1)/d)_p|(q^l+1)$ *for some* l. *Then* $\mu(\xi)$ *is even and* $\mu(\xi) = 2(l, \mu(\xi))$. *Let* $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$, *then the numerator of the zeta function of the curve* C *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *is*

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi}(1 + q^{\nu(\xi)}U^{\mu(\xi)}),$$

the product in (2) and (3) both being taking over all pairs $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ *satisfying* (4.1) *but taking only one representative for each set of pairs* $(q^\rho\xi_1, q^\rho\xi_2)$ *with* $0 \le \rho < \mu(\xi)$.

(4) Suppose $((m(n-n_1)/d)_p|(q+1)$, *i.e.* $l = 1$ *in (3). Then* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. *Conversely, if* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ *and* $g(C) > 0$, *then* $((m(n-n_1)/d)_p|(q^2-1)$.

□

4.2.3 Case 3

Let C be an geometrically irreducible affine curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with equation $k_1x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_2y^n + 1 = 0$, where $k_1k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, n > m_1 + n_1$. Let $(n_1, n) = d, n_1s + nt = d, n_1 = n_1'd, n = n'd, (m_1, q-1) = d_1, (d, q-1) = d_2, (n_1', q-1) = l_1, (n', q-1) = l_2$. Let N be the number of points in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the curve C. Put $L(u, v) = k_1uv_0 + k_2v_1 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{m_1}(u)N_{n_1,n}(v_0, v_1) \\
&= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{m_1}(u)N_d(v_0^sv_1^t)\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{L(u,v)=0 \\ u,v_i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} N_{m_1}(u)N_d(v_0^sv_1^t)\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) + \sum_{\substack{k_2v_1+1=0 \\ v_0,v_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} N_d(v_0^sv_1^t)\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) \\
&= \cdots \\
&= \sum_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(-k_1^{-1})\chi_{\theta_2}(-k_2^{-1})j(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}) + \sum_{(q-1,n)\theta_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1} \chi_{\theta_3}(-k_2^{-1}) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{\theta_2}(k_2^{-1})j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{(q-1,n)\theta_3\equiv 0 \pmod 1} \chi_{\theta_3}(-k_2^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 in the first sum satisfy $(q-1)\theta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ n_1 & n \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

Thus similarly we have

Theorem 4.14 *Let* C *be the nonsingular model over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of the geometrically irreducible curve given by*

$$k_1x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_2y^n + 1 = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*; n > m_1 + n_1$. *Let* $d = (m_1, n_1, n)$. *Let* $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. *Suppose* $p \nmid d$. *Let* $i(C) = \frac{(m_1-1)n-d_1-d_2}{2} + 1$, *where* $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1), d_2 = gcd(m_1, n - n_1)$. *Let* $g(C)$ *be the genus of* C *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *Let* $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ *be a pair of rational numbers such that*

$$\begin{cases} m_1\xi_1 & & & \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ n_1\xi_1 & + & n\xi_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, }, (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Then

(1) If $i(C) = 0$, *then* $g(C) = 0$. *If* $i(C) > 0$, *then*

$$g(C) = \frac{(m_1 n)_p - n_p - (d_1)_p - (d_2)_p}{2} + 1.$$

(2) The numerator of the zeta function of the curve C *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *is*

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi}(1 + \frac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}}\chi_{\xi_1}(k_1^{-1})\chi_{\xi_2}(k_2^{-1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2})U^{\mu(\xi)}).$$

(3) Suppose further that $(m_1 n/d)_p | (q^l + 1)$ *for some* l. *Then* $\mu(\xi)$ *is even and* $\mu(\xi) = 2(l, \mu(\xi))$. *Let* $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$, *then the numerator of the zeta function of the curve* C *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *is*

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi}(1 + q^{\nu(\xi)}U^{\mu(\xi)}),$$

the product in (2) and (3) both being taking over all pairs $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ *satisfying* (4.3) *but taking only one representative for each set of pairs* $(q^\rho\xi_1, q^\rho\xi_2)$ *with* $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\xi)$.

(4) Suppose $(m_1 n/d)_p | (q+1)$, *i.e.* $l = 1$ *in (3). Then* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. *Conversely, if* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ *and* $g(C) > 0$, *then* $(m_1 n/d)_p | (q^2 - 1)$.

□

4.2.4 Case 4

Let C be an geometrically irreducible affine curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with equation $k_1 x^{m_1} y^{n_1} + k_2 x^m y^n + 1 = 0$, where $k_1 k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, m_1 + n_1 \geq m + n, \frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{n}{m}$. Let $(m_1, m) = d_1, m_1 = m_1' d_1, m = m' d_1, m_1 s_1 + m t_1 = d_1, (n_1, n) = d_2, n_1 = n_1' d_2, n = n' d_2, n_1 s_2 + n t_2 = d_2, (d_1, q-1) = d_1', (d_2, q-1) = d_2', (m', q-1) =$

$l_1, (n', q-1) = l_2, (m_1', q-1) = l_3$ and $(n_1', q-1) = l_4$. Let N be the number of points in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the curve C. Put $L(u) = k_1u_0v_0 + k_2u_1v_1 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{m_1,m}(u_0,u_1)N_{n_1,n}(v_0,v_1) \\ &= \sum_{L(u,v)=0} N_{d_1}(u_0^{s_1}u_1^{t_1})\delta(u_0^{m'}, u_1^{m_1'})N_{d_2}(v_0^{s_2}v_1^{t_2})\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{L(u,v)=0 \\ u_i,v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*}} N_{d_1}(u_0^{s_1}u_1^{t_1})\delta(u_0^{m'}, u_1^{m_1'})N_{d_2}(v_0^{s_2}v_1^{t_2})\delta(v_0^{n'}, v_1^{n_1'}) \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \sum_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(-k_2^{-1})\chi_{\theta_2}(-k_1^{-1})j(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \chi_{\theta_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\theta_2}(k_1^{-1})j_0(\chi_{\theta_1}, \chi_{\theta_2}, \chi_{-\theta_1-\theta_2}) \end{aligned}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 satisfy $(q-1)\theta_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & m_1 \\ n & n_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod 1.$$

Hence we have

Theorem 4.15 *Let C be the nonsingular model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the geometrically irreducible curve given by*

$$k_1x^{m_1}y^{n_1} + k_2x^my^n + 1 = 0,$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*; m_1 + n_1 \geq m + n, \frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{n}{m}$. Let $d = (m, n, m_1, n_1)$. Let $p = char(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $p \nmid d$. Let $i(C) = \frac{mn_1 - m_1n - d_1 - d_2 - d_3}{2} + 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(m_1, n_1), d_2 = gcd(m, n)$ and $d_3 = gcd(n_1 - n, m_1 - m)$. Let $g(C)$ be the genus of C over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be a pair of rational numbers such that

$$\begin{cases} m\xi_1 + m_1\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \\ n\xi_1 + n_1\xi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1, v_p(\xi_i) \geq 0, \text{ for i=1,2, }, (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Then

(1) If $i(C) = 0$, then $g(C) = 0$. If $i(C) > 0$, then

$$g(C) = \frac{(mn_1 - m_1n)_p - (d_1)_p - (d_2)_p - (d_3)_p}{2} + 1.$$

(2) The numerator of the zeta function of the curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &P_C(U) \\ &= \prod_{\xi} (1 + \tfrac{1}{q^{\mu(\xi)}} \chi_{\xi_1}(k_2^{-1})\chi_{\xi_2}(k_1^{-1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_1})g(\psi, \chi_{\xi_2})g(\psi, \chi_{-\xi_1-\xi_2})U^{\mu(\xi)}). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose further that $((mn_1 - m_1 n)/d)_p | (q^l + 1)$ *for some* l*. Then* $\mu(\xi)$ *is even and* $\mu(\xi) = 2(l, \mu(\xi))$*. Let* $\mu(\xi) = 2\nu(\xi)$*, then the numerator of the zeta function of the curve* C *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *is*

$$P_C(U) = \prod_{\xi} (1 + q^{\nu(\xi)} U^{\mu(\xi)}),$$

the product in (2) and (3) both being taking over all pairs $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ *satisfying* (4.3) *but taking only one representative for each set of pairs* $(q^\rho \xi_1, q^\rho \xi_2)$ *with* $0 \leq \rho < \mu(\xi)$*.*

(4) Suppose $(((mn_1 - m_1 n)/d)_p | (q+1)$*, i.e.* $l = 1$ *in (3). Then* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$*. Conversely, if* C *is maximal over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ *and* $g(C) > 0$*, then* $(((mn_1 - m_1 n)/d)_p | (q^2 - 1)$*.*

□

Acknowledgements

I started work on this paper when I was an exchange PhD student at the University of Groningen supported by the LiSUM Project in the framework of EMECW for 10 months in 2010. I wish to thank the project and the university's support and hospitality. I am particularly obliged to Prof. Jaap Top, my academic supervisor in Groningen. Particularly, this work was inspired by Prof. Jaap Top's example, $x^3 + y^6 + 1 = 0$, which is of genus 4 and maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$. In order to prove Theorem 4.12, Prof. Jaap Top suggested to study the zeta function and alerted me to [19].

References

[1] Angela Aguglia, Gabor Korchmaros, and Fernando Torres, Plane maximal curves, Acta Arith. 98 (2001), no. 2, 165-179.

[2] Yves Aubry and Marc Perret, A Weil theorem for singular curves, Arithmetic, geometry and coding theory (Luminy, 1993), 1-7, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[3] Peter Beelen and Ruud Pellikaan, The Newton polygon of plane curves with many rational points, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, vol. 21, p. 41-67, 2000.

[4] Bruce Berndt, Ronald Evans and Kenneth Williams, Gauss and Jacobi sums, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. , 1998.

[5] A. T. Butson and B. M. Stewart, Systems of Linear congruences, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 7, p. 358-368, 1955.

[6] Arnaldo Garcia and Saeed Tafazolian, Cartier operators and maximal curves, Acta Arithmetica 135 (2008), 199-218.

[7] I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev, and V. S. Retakh, Hypergeometric functions over an arbitrary field, Russian Math. Surveys 59:5 831-905, 2004.

[8] Loo-Keng Hua, Introduction to number theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. (Sience Press, In Chinese, 1957).

[9] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A classical introduction to modern number theory, Springer-Verlag, Second edition, 1990.

[10] Nicholas Katz, Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups, Princeton University Press, 1988.

[11] Andrew Kresch and Joseph Wetherell, Curves of every genus with many points, I: abelian and toric families, Journal of Algebra 250, p. 353-370, 2002.

[12] Gilles Lachaud, Sommes d'Eisenstein et nombre de points de certaines courbes algebriques sur les corps finis, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 305, Serie 1, p. 729-732, 1987.

[13] C. Olds, A. Lax and G. Davidoff, The geometry of numbers, The Mathematical Association of America, 2000.

[14] H. J. S. Smith, On systems of linear indeterminate equations and congruences, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London, A 151, p. 293-326, 1861.

[15] Henning Stichtenoth, Algebraic function fields and codes, Springer-Verlag, Second edition, 2009.

[16] Saeed Tafazolian and Fernando Torres, On maximal curves of Fermat type, Adv. Geom. 13 (2013), no. 4, 613-617.

[17] Saeed Tafazolian and Fernando Torres, On the curve $Y^n = X^m + X$ over finite fields, J. Number Theory 145 (2014), 51-66.

[18] Saeed Tafazolian and Fernando Torres, A note on certain maximal curves, July 20, 2014. see http://www.ime.unicamp.br/ ftorres/RESEARCH/Articles.html

[19] J. Tate and I. Šafarevič, The rank of elliptic curves, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR Tom 175(1967), No. 4. or Soviet Math. Dokl. Vol. 8(1967), No. 4. p. 917-920.

[20] Daqing Wan, Lectures on zeta functions over finite fields, Higher-dimensional geometry over finite fields, 244-268, NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. D Inf. Commun. Secur., 16, IOS, Amsterdam, 2008.

[21] André Weil, Sur les courbes algbriques et les varits qui s'en dduisent, Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 7 (1945). Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1948.

[22] André Weil, Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55, (1949). 497-508.